

# MEETING OF THE PORT PHILLIP CITY COUNCIL

# MINUTES

# **3 NOVEMBER 2021**



Please consider the environment before printing



Consider carefully how the information in this document is transmitted



### MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PORT PHILLIP CITY COUNCIL HELD 3 NOVEMBER 2021 IN ST KILDA TOWN HALL

The meeting opened at 6:32pm.

#### PRESENT

Cr Crawford (Chairperson), Cr Baxter, Cr Bond, Cr Clark, Cr Copsey, Cr Cunsolo, Cr Martin, Cr Pearl, Cr Sirakoff.

#### IN ATTENDANCE

Peter Smith, Chief Executive Officer, Brian Tee, Acting General Manager Development Transport and City Amenity, Tony Keenan, General Manager Community Wellbeing and Inclusion, Chris Carroll, General Manager Customer Operations and Infrastructure, Kylie Bennetts, General Manager City Growth and Organisational Capability, Kirsty Pearce, Head of Governance, Rebecca Purvis, Senior Governance Advisor, Emily Williams, Council Meetings Officer, Lisa Davis, Manager Safety and Amenity, Anthony Traill, Manager Open Space and Community Resilience, Danielle Bleazby, Executive Director South Melbourne Market, Dennis O'Keeffe, Chief Financial Officer, Lachlan Johnson, Executive Manager Construction Contracts and Operations.

The City of Port Phillip respectfully acknowledges the Traditional Owners of this land. We pay our respect to their Elders, both past and present. We acknowledge and uphold their continuing relationship to this land.

### 1. APOLOGIES

Nil.

### 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

#### **MOVED Crs Martin/Cunsolo**

That the minutes of the Meeting of the Port Phillip City Council held on 20 October 2021 be confirmed.

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

### 3. DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Peter Smith, Chief Executive Officer, declared a material conflict in confidential item 17.2 CEO Employment Matters and advised that he would leave the meeting at the time of the item with Claire Stevens, Executive Manager People, Culture and Safety to assume his chair.

Councillor Crawford declared a general conflict in part 3.1 of the recommendation for Report 12.1 *Cultural Development Fund (CDF) Projects Grants 2021/2022 Recommendations* due to an association with the recommended grant recipients.



### 4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND SUBMISSIONS

The following submissions were made verbally and can be listened to in full on our website: <u>http://webcast.portphillip.vic.gov.au/archive.php</u>

#### Public Question Time:

• James Woollett: I represent the members of the Middle Park Library Action Group. This week the residents of Glen Eira, Darebin, Yarra, Stonnington, Maribyrnong and many other Victorian municipalities are enjoying all the facilities their public libraries have to offer. Yet the City of Port Phillip has only managed to fully open one library. In St Kilda. For just four hours a day. Why is Port Philip Council yet again being so laggardly? Is it because of a shortage of staff caused by the large number of retrenchments and resignations from the library service over the last eighteen months? Is it because staff are reluctant to implement the State Government's COVID Vaccination Passport policy? If the council executives in so many other municipalities can fully open all their libraries, why can't the executives in St Kilda Town Hall do the same? When will the residents of Port Melbourne, South Melbourne, Albert Park and especially Middle Park "be welcome" as your website says "to browse and borrow our collections, use our computers, print and enjoy the library space once more?

Tony Keenan, General Manager Community Wellbeing and Inclusion advised that the libraries are staging the re-opening and Council is dealing with some vacancies due to a decision not to replace staff during the lockdown to deal with the budgetary hit we were experiencing. We have commenced recruitment, but it is correct that part of rolling out of the reopening is because of current staff shortages. Mr Keenan clarified that there have been no retrenchments, but there have been resignations and those positions are now being recruited for. All libraries are continuing to be open for click and collect so there are services at all libraries. We haven't had any issues from residents about not being able to obtain any items. The St Kilda Library has reopened and as of next week we will be progressively opening the rest of the services as we recruit staff accordingly.

- **David Brand:** Some questions on Council's possible roles in influencing development outcomes at 63-73 Fitzroy Street, St Kilda.
  - 1) What roles can Council play in encouraging any future project on this site that will build on Fitzroy Street's historical cultural strengths to regenerate the street's next successful cultural phase?
  - 2) Looking ahead to possible leasehold partnerships involving Council's Jackson St car park property, what sorts of social and cultural dividends could be sought by Council, and what sorts of advantages and considerations in return might these arrangements offer a future owner of 63-73 Fitzroy Street?
  - 3) Looking ahead to future thinking on strategic planning for Fitzroy Street, if a future developer of the site were to seek to exceed current planning controls, what sorts of conditions or undertakings applied to the development proposal might enable Council to entertain such a request?

Brian Tee, Acting General Manager Development Transport and City Amenity advised that The activation of Fitzroy Street is a key priority for Council and council is considering what role it can play to support Fitzroy Street including from an activation and planning perspective and as a landowner. Specifically in relation to 63-73 Fitzroy Street we are keen to collaborate with owners, developer and the community. We would encourage any owner or indeed prospective developer to meet with Council early as part of the pre-approvals process to see



how any development can help activate and play a role in the rejuvenation of Fitzroy Street. The Council owned car park at Jackson Street is another opportunity to support the rejuvenation of Fitzroy street. Council is undertaking consultation on the intention to lease the Jackson Street carpark. I encourage the community to engage via Council's have your say webpage. The Council proposal is for a long term lease of the site with Council retaining ownership. Council is also keen to retain car parking as part of any development. But our core reason for the proposed lease of the car park is the potential for any development to contribute to and stimulate renewal of the Fitzroy Street area. Council's agenda is to promote innovative and creative solutions that makes a contribution to enlivening the area. Should Council proceed with a lease, we would welcome interest from owners of neighbouring sites, including the Easy Stay site. In terms of the question about Council's response to a request to exceed current planning controls at Jackson Street. A successful bidder would be able to seek a planning scheme amendment. We would consider any such request from the perspective of the community benefits and Council's core desire to promote economic and social outcomes along Fitzroy Street. Of course, any amendment would be subject to the standard process which includes public consultation.

- Rhonda Small: Recently, 63-73 Fitzroy Street came on the market. This site with eight shopfronts in the middle of Fitzroy St, is at the heart of the street, but currently it is also symbolic of its decline. Half the shops are vacant. Only half the serviced apartments in the two floors above are leased. But what an opportunity the sale of this site represents for our community. And what an opportunity for Council to have a say in its development. One can imagine many creative outcomes that could enliven this central part of Fitzroy St. A mixed-use development could emerge: on the upper floors, perhaps a mixture of affordable housing for key workers, musicians, artists all of whom are being squeezed out of St Kilda. Perhaps on the ground floor, artist studios, an arcade for local arts and crafts, a gallery and shops serving the needs of locals a deli, a fruit shop, perhaps a book café. Or why not an Indigenous cultural space, developed in partnership with local members of the Yalukut Willem clan? Such a development would attract both locals and visitors. What Fitzroy St doesn't need is more of the same not more short stay accommodation or apartments only the wealthy can afford. How can this be prevented? Council could play an important role here.
  - 1) What role can Council play to achieve the best possible community, cultural and commercial outcome for 63-73 Fitzroy Street?
  - 2) Is the CEO exploring the possibility of Council purchasing the site or exercising all regulatory mechanisms at its disposal to influence the outcome there?
  - 3) Has there been any discussion with the local Member for Albert Park about partnering with the State Government to purchase the site to leverage appropriate development there?

Brian Tee, Acting General Manager Development Transport and City Amenity advised that as stated in my previous answer. This site and the Jackson Street car park represents a great opportunity to deliver community benefits including outcomes that help revitalise Fitzroy Street. In terms of the role that Council can play, Council is very active in working with traders in Fitzroy Street. We would also be keen to work with the new owners to see how we can support the local businesses. We would also welcome a discussion with any proposed developer on ways that any proposed development can support and encourage community, cultural and commercial outcomes as part of any development. In terms of advocacy and engagement with the State Government. Fitzroy street is a priority for Council and is regularly part of the Council advocacy agenda.



• **Bill Garner:** The forthcoming sale of the motel in Fitzrov Street offers a rare opportunity to a city that proudly badges itself as 'Creative and Prosperous'. What should we expect of a 'creative city' if that is to be more than a piece of creative branding? It goes far beyond supporting the Arts and the creative industries. It implies that council will, as core business, pursue creativity in every aspect of the City's life. Which prompts the question: What is the link between a 'creative city' and a 'prosperous city'. In one direction it is clear. Every artist or group that applies for cultural funding is required to show how the planned activity will support local business and visitation. But where is the reciprocal responsibility? that every commercial enterprise should be required to demonstrate how it enhances the culture of the city. It doesn't exist. But, if we were the creative city we like to think ourselves, everyone would understand that this was part of the deal for operating in Port Phillip The only example I can find is an encouragement for developers to commission a work of art for their building. That's all and fine, but it would be far better if it were the building itself that were the work of art. We all know this is far from being the case. But the Pride Centre shows that Port Phillip can do it. A benchmark has been set. Now we have to keep doing it. Which brings us back to the motel in Fitzroy Street. Council must make it clear that it is expecting something architecturally, socially and culturally brilliant for the site. What is creative Port Phillip doing to generate that expectation?

Brian Tee, Acting General Manager Development Transport and City Amenity advised that As stated in my previous answer, this site and the Jackson Street car park represent a great opportunity to deliver community benefits including outcomes that help revitalise Fitzroy Street. In terms of the role that Council can play, Council is very active in working with traders in Fitzroy Street. We would also be keen to work with the new owners to see how we can support the local businesses. We would also welcome a discussion with any proposed developer on ways that any proposed development can support and encourage community, cultural and commercial outcomes as part of any development. In terms of advocacy and engagement with the State Government. Fitzroy street is a priority for Council and is regularly part of the Council advocacy agenda.

#### **Council Report Submissions:**

#### Item 10.1 Domestic Animal Management Plan 2022-2025

- Elizabeth Morrison
- Patricia Goldie
- Liz and Mick

#### <u>Item 10.3</u> Petition Response - Request for Changes to Dog Restrictions on Port Melbourne Beaches

- Talia Blum
- Helen Taylor
- Kitty Flanagan
- Douglas Johnson
- Rachel Eaves
- Kerry McKendrick
- Elizabeth Morrison
- Nicola Mann
- Patricia Goldie



#### Item 10.4 Mobile CCTV Trailer

Tim Norman

#### Item 12.2 South Melbourne Market Proposal to close Cecil Street this summer

• Jo Plummer

#### Item 13.1 Friends of Suai/Covalima Annual Report 2020/21

Megs Alston

#### Item 14.1 Notice of Motion – Councillor Martin – Climate Emergency

- Linda Condon
- Jack Halliday
- Peter Moraitis

The following submissions were read out in summary by an officer:

#### **Council Report Submissions:**

#### <u>Item 10.3</u> Petition Response - Request for Changes to Dog Restrictions on Port Melbourne Beaches

• Terence Glenane

### 5. COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

Nil.

### 6. SEALING SCHEDULE

Nil.

### 7. PETITIONS AND JOINT LETTERS

# 7.1 Joint Letter Response - Request for attention and improvements for a more sustainable streetscape on Alma Road, St Kilda

A Joint Letter containing 8 signatures was received from local residents.

#### **MOVED Crs Baxter/Clark**

- 1. Thanks the Petition organisers for their advocacy to improve safety and amenity of Alma Road between St Kilda Road and Chapel Street, St Kilda.
- 2. Notes that the median island and tree that were removed to provide truck access to the site will be re-instated once the construction at 31 39 Alma Road is completed. The developer will also plant two new trees in the nature strip in front of the site.



- 3. Notes that a review of street trees in the municipality, including Alma Road, will be undertaken following the completion of the priorities detailed in the 2017-2022 street tree planting guide.
- 4. Notes that a review and update to the Greening Port Phillip Strategy is planned for 2022, and requests that officers consider Alma Road as a priority during this review.
- 5. Notes that while the petitioners desire Slow Speed Local Area treatments to be implemented on Alma Road, Council's traffic engineers' assessment shows that the road functions safely and no traffic engineering measures are warranted.
- 6. Notes that the Transport Safety team will again request the Department of Transport to review the timing of the pedestrian crossings on St Kilda Road at Alma Road to provide time for pedestrians to cross in one signal phase.
- 7. Notes that the existing bike lane on Alma Road does not meet the Austroads guideline requirements for the use of a green surface treatment and that the Transport Safety team has organised for the installation of additional bike lane signs and will check the line marking again once the construction is complete.
- 8. Advises the organiser of the petition of Council's endorsed recommendation.

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

### 8. PRESENTATION OF CEO REPORT

Nil.

### 9. INCLUSIVE PORT PHILLIP

Nil.

### 10. LIVEABLE PORT PHILLIP

#### 10.1 Domestic Animal Management Plan 2022-2025

#### Purpose

1.1 To present the Domestic Animal Management Plan 2022-2025 for endorsement.

#### MOVED Crs Copsey/Martin

- 3.1 Endorses the Domestic Animal Management Plan 2022-2025 in order to meet legislative requirements prescribed in section 68a of the Domestic Animals Act 1994.
- 3.2 Acknowledges and thanks the community engagement respondents for their input and feedback, and for assisting Council to develop actions that will balance the needs of pet owners and non-pet owners.
- 3.3 Makes the Domestic Animal Management Plan 2022-25 available on Council's website, and in Town Halls and Libraries.



- 3.4 Authorises the Chief Executive Officer, or delegate, to make final design or minor editorial changes that do not materially change the intent of the DAMP, prior to publication.
- 3.5 Provides the Secretary of the Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions with a copy of the endorsed Domestic Animal Management Plan 2022-2025.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

#### 10.2 Petition Response - Request for Dog Restriction Changes, Kirrip Park

#### Purpose

1.1 To report on the site specific review of dog restrictions at Kirrip Park, and the results of community consultation undertaken as part of the review.

#### **MOVED Crs Pearl/Copsey**

That Council:

- 3.1 Thanks the community for their petition and acknowledges the benefits that pet ownership and gathering with other dog owners can have.
- 3.2 Notes the feedback provided in the community consultation report.
- 3.3 Notes the important role that Kirrip Park plays in the provision of open space at this early stage of development in Fishermans Bend, and the significant investment of public funds that has occurred to develop the park for the local community.
- 3.4 Notes that the draft Public Space Strategy contains actions in response to community feedback to review dog off lead areas, and investigate fencing of some dog off lead sections of the light rail trail.
- 3.5 Notes the various dog off-leash parks within 900M radius of Kirrip Park.
- 3.6 Notes the potential increase in costs to maintain the park if the dog restrictions were changed.
- 3.7 Retains the current dog on leash restrictions in Kirrip Park, South Melbourne.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED.

#### 10.3 Petition Response - Request for Changed Dog Restrictions on Port Melbourne Beaches

#### Purpose

1.1 To respond to the petition requesting that Council considers opening up Port Melbourne beaches to dog walkers before 10am during the November 1 - March 31 summer period.

#### **MOVED Crs Cunsolo/Martin**



- 3.1 Thanks the petitioners for their petition.
- 3.2 Notes the challenges involved in balancing the desires of dog walkers with the views and needs of all other users of our beaches and open spaces.
- 3.3 Conducts a trial of early morning access for dogs on leash on South Melbourne Beach between the hours of 5.30am and 9.30am between 1 December 2021 and 31 March 2022.
- 3.4 Conducts a trial of early morning access for dogs off leash on 'New Beach', immediately adjacent to Princes Pier and to the groyne to the west, Port Melbourne, between the hours of 5.30am and 9.30am between 1 December 2021 and 31 March 2022.
- 3.5 Notes that the trials are subject to funding required to conduct the trial being allocated in the upcoming quarterly budget review.
- 3.6 Notes that a report on the outcomes of the trials and results from the community engagement will be presented to Council in the first half of 2022.
- 3.7 Undertakes a review of opportunities for a 'dogs prohibited' beach in Winter and presents a report to Council early in 2022 on where a trial of a dogs prohibited beach may be undertaken.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was LOST.

#### Moved Crs Sirakoff/Pearl

That Council:

- 3.1 Thanks the petitioners for their petition.
- 3.2 Notes the challenges involved in balancing the desires of dog walkers with the views and needs of all other users of our beaches and open spaces.
- 3.3 Implements Options 3 and 4 as outlined in the report, being:

Option 3: Retain the current dog restrictions on beaches

Option 4: Include an action in the draft Public Space Strategy to undertake a review of all on-beach dog restrictions

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

The Mayor adjourned the meeting for a break at 8:20pm.

The meeting resumed at 8:29pm.

#### 10.4 Mobile CCTV Trailer

#### Purpose

1.1 To action the Council resolution requesting Council Officers to present options for Council to proceed with the acquisition (either purchase or hire) of a mobile CCTV unit for the Port Phillip Service Area.



#### **MOVED Crs Pearl/Cunsolo**

That Council:

- 3.1 Notes the resolution on the 15 September 2021, a request for Council officers to provide a formal report to Council in October 2021 with options for Council to proceed with the acquisition (either purchase or hire) of a mobile CCTV unit for the Port Phillip Police Service Area.
- 3.2 Notes Council has already resolved to purchase a mobile CCTV trailer if successful with a grant application.
- 3.3 Requests officers to continue concurrently applying for grants that would fully fund the hire or purchase of a mobile CCTV trailer, including submitting the hire of a mobile CCTV trailer as an initiative using the Victorian Government COVID Safe Outdoor Activation grant funding.
- 3.4 Notes that the current public place CCTV policy indicates that any new public places CCTV systems should only be progressed by Council when State or Federal Government funding has been acquired.
- 3.5 Notwithstanding, resolves to fund the hire and trial of a mobile CCTV unit for six months to gather feedback from the community and Victoria Police on the effectiveness of a mobile CCTV solution to strengthen future applications.
- 3.6 Allocates \$35,000 to fund the trial and hire of a mobile CCTV unit in an upcoming quarterly budget review, in the event that this is not funded through the Victorian Government COVID Safe Outdoor Activation grant.
- 3.7 Delegates to the Manager Open Space, Recreation and Community Resilience to authorise locations requested by Victoria Police.
- 3.8 Notes Council has already resolved to delegate to the Council officers the ability to make necessary amendments to the existing Memorandum of Understanding with Victoria Police to include a mobile CCTV trailer.

Cr Bond called for a DIVISION.

FOR: Crs Crawford, Cunsolo, Bond, Sirakoff, Martin, Pearl and Clark

AGAINST: Crs Baxter and Copsey

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED.

### 11. SUSTAINABLE PORT PHILLIP

Nil.

### 12. VIBRANT PORT PHILLIP

#### 12.1 Cultural Development Fund (CDF) Projects Grants 2021/2022 Recommendations

Councillor Crawford declared a conflict of interest in part 3.1 of item 12.1 and left the chamber at 9.03pm. Cr Marcus Pearl assumed the Chair.



#### Purpose

1.1 To present the recommended Cultural Development Fund-Projects grant recipients for 2021/22 for Council's approval.

#### RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

#### PART 1

#### **MOVED Crs Cunsolo/Martin**

3.1 Endorses the recommended successful applicants (project numbers 1 & 2) as proposed by the Cultural Development Fund Committee for the Cultural Development Fund – Projects grants for 2021/22 as outlined in Confidential Attachment 1.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

Councillor Crawford returned to the meeting at 9.05pm, and resumed the Chair.

#### PART 2

#### MOVED Crs Bond/Cunsolo

- 3.2 Endorses the recommended successful applicants (project numbers 3 to 18) as proposed by the Cultural Development Fund Committee for the Cultural Development Fund Projects grants for 2021/22 as outlined in Confidential Attachment 1.
- 3.3 Releases details on the successful recipients of the Cultural Development Fund -Projects grants 2021/22 from confidence, once all applicants have been advised of the outcome of their application and those successful have confirmed their ability to proceed with their application.
- 3.4 Notes that in the event a successful applicant is not able to proceed with their project, the Committee's highly commended applications will be submitted to Council for consideration through a separate Council report.
- 3.5 Thanks, the Cultural Development Fund Reference Committee for their work in assessing applications and making recommendations to Council.
- 3.6 Thanks, all applicants who participated in the 2021/22 funding round of the Cultural Development Fund.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

#### 12.2 SMM Proposal to Close Cecil St this Summer

#### Purpose

To seek support for the partial closure of Cecil Street from 3 January to 24 April 2022.

#### **MOVED Crs Copsey/Baxter**



#### That Council:

3.1 Approves the partial closure of Cecil Street (north lane between York and Coventry Streets) from 3 January to 24 April 2022.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED

#### Cr Bond called for a DIVISION.

FOR:Crs Baxter, Crawford, Cunsolo, Copsey and MartinAGAINST:Crs Bond, Sirakoff, Pearl and ClarkA vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED.

### 13. WELL GOVERNED PORT PHILLIP

#### 13.1 Friends of Suai/Covalima Annual Report 2020/21

#### Purpose

To present the Friends of Suai/Covalima (FoSC) 2020/21 Annual Report and inform Council of the achievements of the program over the last financial year.

#### MOVED Crs Martin/Pearl

That Council:

- 3.1 Endorse the 2020/21 Friends of Suai/Covalima (FoSC) Annual Report (Attachment 1).
- 3.2 Acknowledge the work of the FoSC Community Reference Committee and thank the Committee for its efforts.
- 3.3 Acknowledge the work of the Covalima Community Centre throughout 2020/21 and the role it has played in enhancing the lives of the Suai / Covalima community.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED.

#### 13.2 Records of Informal Meetings of Council

#### Purpose

To report to Council written records of Informal Meetings of Councillors at the City of Port Phillip.

#### MOVED Crs Pearl/Cunsolo

- That Council
- 2.1 Receives and notes the written records of Informal Meetings of Councillors (attached).

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

#### 13.3 Financial Update: First Quarter 2021-22 Financial Review

#### Purpose

1.1 To provide Council with an overview of the results of the first quarter 2021/22 performance to budget and seek approval for several unbudgeted items to be funded from the surplus.



#### MOVED Crs Copsey/Crawford

That Council:

- 3.1 Notes that following the first quarter 2021/22 budget review the organisation is projecting a full year cumulative cash surplus of \$0.89 million which is \$2.11 million unfavourable compared to budget of \$3.01 million.
- 3.2 Notes attachment 1 Financial Statements with accompanying explanatory notes and Economic and Social Recovery Spend.
- 3.3 Approves up to-\$276,250 of additional funding request (\$84,000 funded from Palais reserve) (see attachment 2 September 2021 Budget Requests for more details) including:
  - 3.3.1 \$152,000 Updated estimates for the Tenancy Relief Scheme to 31 December including additional \$84,000 for Palais Theatre (matching Palais reserve reduction) and \$68,000 for Sky Diving at Moran Reserve.
  - 3.3.2 \$78,400 Stokehouse Rent and Community Benefit Relief due to financial impact caused by COVID restrictions
  - 3.3.3 \$24,000 Sporting club and other licence fees at 50% waiver on 21/22 fees.
  - 3.3.4 \$21,850 for Soap Dispenser Trial at Foreshore Public Toilets and other high profile sites.
- 3.4 Notes the following two options available to Council with respect to the Communal Food Organic Trial extension:
  - 3.4.1 Option a) \$110,000 Extension of Communal Food Organic Trial at existing sites from 1 December 2021 to 01 January 2023 (total \$188,000 over two financial year).
  - 3.4.2 Option b) \$204,000 Extension of Communal Food Organic Trial and expand to three additional sites from 1 December 2021 to 01 January 2023 (total \$344,000 over two financial year).
  - 3.4.3 Resolves to pursue Option B and delegates to the Chief Executive Officer implementation of this option.
- 3.5 Notes Council recently was notified of additional \$1.6 million of funding from the Victorian Government as part of their COVID Safe Outdoor Activation Fund. This funding is for works, services and promotion of outdoor activation. There are two separate streams of funding with different initiatives covered and Officers will work with Councillors to finalise spend in the coming weeks. Income and matching expenditure (neutral impact) are not included in the financial statements yet.
- 3.6 Notes that Mobile CCTV Trailer trial to address hoon driving and related antisocial behaviour will be funded from the COVID Safe Outdoor Activation grant funding in item 3.5 subject to State Government approval of use of funds for this purpose.
- 3.7 In accordance with Section 97(3) of the Act the Chief Executive Officer supported by the Chief Financial Officer concludes that a revised budget for 2021/22 is not required.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.



#### 13.4 Service Delivery Vaccination Policy

#### Purpose

1.1 For Council to approve the Service Delivery Vaccination Policy that sets out the policy and process for determining the vaccination requirements for users of Council's services to minimise the risk of transmission of the Covid-19 virus between staff and customers, customers and customers and between staff and staff in different service settings.

#### **MOVED Crs Baxter/Pearl**

That Council:

- 3.1 Approves the Service Delivery Vaccination Policy
- 3.2 Notes that the policy includes the provision of alternative service options for unvaccinated customers, where this is reasonably practical.
- 3.3 Notes that on approval, communication of this policy to customers will be undertaken at a service level and to the wider community.
- 3.4 Notes that the CEO will provide Council with a report on the service impacts of this policy after 6 months from implementation.
- 3.5 Delegates to the CEO the authority to amend the policy as required, to ensure that it remains up to date with prevailing Chief Health Officer directions and to ensure that the CEO can meet their Occupational Health and Safety obligations under relevant legislation.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

### 14. NOTICES OF MOTION

#### 14.1 Notice of Motion – Councillor Peter Martin – Climate Emergency

#### **MOVED Crs Martin/Baxter**

- 1. Notes the Council resolution on 18 September 2019 declaring that climate change, including sea level rise and mass species extinction, poses serious risks to the people of Port Phillip and Australia, and should be treated as an emergency.
- 2. Requests that the CEO:
  - a) Develops a summary of Council's climate emergency response, including information regarding local climate change impacts, Council and community emissions, actions Council has taken to mitigate and adapt to climate change since September 2019 and a summary of commitments in the Council Plan 2021 and core strategies that are intended to address the climate emergency.
  - b) Makes this summary available on Council's website and presents the summary to Council as part of a monthly CEO report at the earliest opportunity.



- c) Reviews information on <u>Council's website</u> to ensure that information about Council's climate emergency response is clear and easily accessible to the community.
- 3. Requests that the CEO:
  - a) Produces a costed proposal on options to develop a five-year Climate Emergency Action Plan, for Council to effectively take action on the Climate Emergency. The purpose of the Action Plan will be to incorporate targets, goals and actions from the Council Plan 2021, Council's core strategies, including Act & Adapt, Don't Waste It!, and Move Connect Live, as well as science-based targets for the five year action plan period.
    - i. The proposal should outline options on information required and possible consultation approaches in addition to any resources required to develop a Plan, so this can be consulted on and considered as part of the 2022/23 Council Plan and budget process.
- 4. Notes that Council's Act and Adapt, Sustainable Environment Strategy, includes a commitment that it will be reviewed every four years and updated if needed and that this review is due to commence on 20 June 2022.
- 5. Requests that the CEO, as part of the review of the Act and Adapt Strategy, consider the following:
  - Council's climate emergency declaration
  - Global and local changes since the adoption of the Strategy, including political, legislative, social, environmental and financial changes
  - Current best available information and scientific research to inform actions and targets
  - Advocacy and partnership opportunities

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED

#### Cr Copsey called for a DIVISION.

FOR:Crs Baxter, Crawford, Cunsolo, Copsey and MartinAGAINST:Crs Sirakoff, Pearl and ClarkABSTAINEDCr Bond

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED.

### 15. REPORTS BY COUNCILLOR DELEGATES

Nil.

### **16. URGENT BUSINESS**

#### **MOVED Crs Cunsolo/Baxter**

That Council considers an item of urgent business relating to the Albert Park Secondary College Art Show.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED.



#### MOVED Crs Crawford/Copsey

- 1. Notes the request for \$1,000 to support the Albert Park College Art Show in November 2021, and that funding has previously been provided for this community fundraising event through the now disestablished Council Neighbourhood Grants Program.
- 2. Notes that Albert Park College are unable to apply for a grant through Council's new Quick Response Grant program, as this will not be formally endorsed by Council until December 20201.
- 3. Approves a one-off donation of \$1,000 to Albert Park College to support the Albert Park College Art Show in 2021.
- 4. Fund this donation from savings made in the Annual Community Grants budget for 2021/22.

A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED.

### 17. CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS

#### **MOVED Crs Pearl/Martin**

That in accordance with the Local Government Act 2020, the meeting be closed to members of the public in order to deal with the following matters, that are considered to be confidential in accordance with Section 3 of the Act, for the reasons indicated:

Report No.	Report Title	Confidential reasons
17.1	St Kilda Pier Landside Integration	(c) land use planning information, being information that if prematurely released is likely to encourage speculation in land values.
17.2	CEO Employment Matters	(f) personal information, being information which if released would result in the unreasonable disclosure of information about any person or their personal affairs.

#### A vote was taken and the MOTION was CARRIED unanimously.

As there was no further business open to the public the meeting closed at 10.35pm.

The confidential meeting concluded at 11:04pm.

Confirmed: 17 November 2021

Chairperson