Enhancing Elwood Foreshore

Share your feedback on the site plan for Elwood Foreshore Form

| Title/Question | Share your feedback on the | Tool Type | Activity ID | 982 | Report Date Range | 1 Dec 2021 - 5 Feb 2022 | Date Exported By | Dinesh

Exported By	Dinesh					
ID	Date Submitted	Question	Contribution	Status Sentiment Positive Negative Mix	Tags Key Phrases Named Entities Language	Featured
		you'd like to share with	The traffic lights at corner of Head st and St Kilda St for traffic heading north (and to a lesser extent heading south) have a LOT of vehicles- both cars and cyclists- running the red light. As a local I have nearly been hit on 3 separate occasions in teh last couple of years. Can you apply to VicRoads for 5 second delay in both pedestrian and car green signals for crossing St Kilda St (East to west) and some traffic light cameras? Increased safety for foot traffic is great, but not so good if a hazardous nearby major intersection is ignored. Thanks			
		How could we have made your experience	Better diagrams to show more detail.	None		No
	30565 Feb 05, 2022, 12 42 PM	better?	Love the plan to move the car parking away from the foreshore edge and provide usable public space in its place.	None		No
		us about the proposed site plan?		None		No
		made your experience	On site or in village pop ups	None		No
		Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Site seems to have a significant impact on organised recreation. There is loss to the existing sports fields for car parking which is highly inappropriate (and will still never be enough) and no where near enough space for the proposed tennis relocation with croquet without impacting passive open space	Notice		NO
	30558 Feb 04, 2022, 07 20 PM	site plan? How could we have made your experience	Information not really provided in simple easy to decipher detail	None		No
	30558 Feb 04, 2022, 07 20 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe the current (initial) plans presented are concerning for the following reasons Proposal to use Wattie 2 (junior oval) as an overflow car park for special events. We feel that an overflow car park could significantly damage the playing surface of Wattie 2 and impact our ability to use this space for our younger teams. This is an essential part of our club's current and future requirements. The existing plans also have the Elwood Tennis Club sharing space with the Elwood Croquet Club and expanding that footprint which in turn reduces the Wattie 2 available playing surface. This is a major concern as our club could not manage to provide opportunities for all our children to play without Wattie 2. There has been no mention of an upgrade of the Wattie Watson pavilion. We are committed to working towards pavilion upgrades, the primary objective being the addition of female-only change rooms and toilets. We are also seeking to increase the footprint of the pavilion to allow the Club and its co-tenants the ability to hold club events at this facility and 'future proof' for future growth.			No
			We will see if the council take on the thoughts/opinion/advice of it's ratepayers!	None		No
		Is there anything else you'd like to share with	It is very difficult to understand specific information eg in relation to the impact of loss of current public carparking on traffic in Head Street which already is heavily congested on sports days and events, beach days during summer (which are increasingly long in duration). This is just one of many examples of a paucity of detailed information to properly response.	None		No
		How could we have made your experience	provide greater detail on the proposal, and its rationale, rather than being presented in a biased manner, eg that it amounts to an 'enhancement'. This gives the public the feeling that the entire development is being presented as a fait accompli	None		No
		Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Insufficient tennis courts, upgrade to Wattie pavilion not factored in but in deprecate need as it does not currently support the growth of girls football and a safe change room environment. The second oval on Wattie (Head St A) needs to be made larger not smaller via moving tennis courts and to use as overflow car park is ridiculous as it would destroy the surface for sport. The proposal needs to be seriously reviewed as it does not support community sport especially for our children.	None		No
	30539 Feb 04, 2022, 11 01 AM	site plan?		None		No

30510 Feb 01, 2022, 05 28 PM			None
	Is there anything else	Opposition to the proposed overflow carparking on the Elwood no 2 cricket oval	
	you'd like to share with		
	us about the proposed		
	site plan?		
30502 Feb 01, 2022, 12 26 PM			None
	Is there anything else		
		I am a longtime Elwood resident writing to object to the proposed Foreshore Plan.	
	site plan?	appears to negate the plans first two guiding principles of having "Public open space, recreational activities and events and positive community benefit".	
		The proposed new site next to the croquet club is too small to comfortably fit in the four tennis courts, not to forget that the croquet club wants to extend their club	
		house which will further reduce space.	
		The Elwood Tennis Club is a very popular community club with a long membership waiting list. Membership wait times could be reduced and accessibility to facilities	
		enhanced by extending the existing location and installing two new tennis courts on the south side of the tennis club where there is available land space. The membership waiting list indicates the Elwood community wants and needs more recreational space, not less.	
		The tennis courts are constantly in use, I play on them up to three times a week between 8am-8pm, and the other courts are also occupied during these times. It is a very	
		popular local facility that is unique to Elwood in so much as it is not a commercial tennis centre run privately for capital gain, but instead it is a community club used by local Elwood residents.	
		The existing car park between the Tennis club and the beach is used minimally and is almost never even close to being half full. This probably indicates that the foreshore	
		is primarily use by local residents who arrive at the foreshore on foot or by bicycle. On those rare very hot days during summer there is a demand for parking, usually on	
		weekends, as people from across greater Melbourne visit the bayside beaches, on those odd days of high demand no amount of car spaces will accommodate the sudden	
		influx of cars.	
		During peak time, or even all year round, the council could provide a public transport shuttle bus along Ormond Esplanade, one that stops at several of the bayside beaches including Elwood beach.	
		In regard to the bike path, as a long time commuting cyclist, I can attest that the bike path along the foreshore is one of the better function cycle ways in Melbourne. In	
		relation to the Foreshore Plan I believe it is a case of if it isn't broken, don't fix it.	
		Interestingly on the proposed site map the proposed car park, where the existing tennis club is, is not labelled car park. All other structures are clearly labelled however the car park is not.	
		After attending the online Q&A session on 29/01/22 I understand council is at the preliminary stage of a potential ten-year plan. I hope you closely consider the	
		community feedback and some of my suggestions and rethink the proposed building of a car park on one of the real jewels of the Elwood community, the oasis that is the	2
		Elwood Tennis Club.	
30501 Feb 01, 2022, 11 38 AM			None
		One major concern - the site and size of the tennis club. The new site is too small - the existing site is too small for the demand.	
		There is minimal shade and screening at the new site.	
		Moving the club away from parking may cause unintended issues to residents in the areas near Head Street.	
	site plan?	One smaller concern - having a restaurant right on the foreshore is a drawcard and helps make Elwood a destination. It would be a shame to lose that given there are no	
30499 Feb 01, 2022, 10 19 AM		other restaurants in Elwood that are on the foreshore and with great views and atmosphere.	None

Is there anything else I strongly disagree with the proposal to relocate Elwood Tennis club, make it a combined sports facility, and replace the current site with car parking.

traffic and club members (particularly for stray balls that get accidentally hit out of courts).

us about the proposed The history of the club, the suitability of the proposed new location, and the club's vital role in the community appear to have been inadequately researched. Particularly

as the new location and planned facilities seem inappropriate. Key concerns

- The proposed relocation could see some of the courts located close to the noisy and very busy intersection of Beach Rd & St Kilda St. That could be dangerous to road

- The land allocation for the courts appears to be inadequate at the proposed site which could compromise the club's suitability for play - including competition club - There's no option for additional courts in the design (despite the club having a waiting list of over 125 people - with few other options for tennis in the area).

- The proposal to replace the existing club site with yet more car parking contradicts your Council's stated aim of minimising the impact of car parking. The proposed new location on Head Street can be extremely busy and congested in the summer months, which would create parking issues for tennis club members. There seems to have been no consideration given to the long history of the club on the current site (I beleive it's been providing tennis to the community since the 1930s

- Necessary screening (including trees/shrubbery for privacy, traffic noise reduction and wind buffering appear to be inadequate in the proposed new site). - Elwood tennis club has a unique social atmosphere (which would be compromised by making this a combined sports facility with shared clubhouse).

- No clear timescale has been provided. It's important to note that Elwood Tennis Club provides a vital role in providing physical and mental health benefits and social connections for its membership. Any disruption/delays caused by a relocation would be detrimental to hundreds of people of all ages who rely on the tennis and the club for physical, mental, and social wellbeing.
- It seems to be an unnecessary expenditure to relocate a long-standing, existing sports club (which actually has great facilities) and replace it with car parking.

you'd like to share with

in its current location).

site plan?

	Is there anything else	I live at		
	you'd like to share with	In general, I understand and support most of the recommendations in the site plan.		
	site plan?			
		Key points that I object to, and which should be reconsidered are		
		1)Construction of a new road to the sports pavilion accessed via Head Street. This road is planned to be there is currently a line of trees and natural vegetation.		
		2)The addition of parallel parking encroaching on to the current sports ovals with the potential loss of the current line of young trees.		
		My recommendations to overcome these 2 objections are		
		1.Access to the sport pavilion to remain unchanged, or via Ormond Esplanade and not via Head St.		
		2.Increasing the currently existing parking and/or utilizing space close to existing car park (for example the addition of a new car park closer to Ormond Road conveniently positioned between the football and soccer field with access road to sports pavilion). Head St is a quiet on through road residential street. Materially increasing the traffic in this street through both access to the sport pavilion and the addition of parallel parking will result in Head St becoming more congested than it already is.		
		Residents currently look out over well-utilized and enjoyed sports fields, not a car park. It is common for balls to roll on to Head St, often being retrieved by young children. Having more cars parking on the oval side and travelling down Head St to the pavilion, will naturally increase the risk of accidents occurring, as you won't be able to see lids' coming from between cars.		
		Happy to discuss.		
30494 Jan 31, 2022, 10 21 PM			None	N
	How could we have made your experience	Happy to discuss		
30494 Jan 31, 2022, 10 21 PM	better?		None	N
		the movement of the tennis club cannot be justified the car park planning is inadequate and irrelevant to the masterplan objectives yet very disruptive to current community needs		
	us about the proposed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
30468 Jan 30, 2022, 09 05 PM	site plan?		None	N
	How could we have made your experience	the community information process and time lines happened over Christmas where people were inaccessible was this on purpose?		
30468 Jan 30, 2022, 09 05 PM	better?		None	N
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Contains incorrect information about the useage of the Elwood Croquet Club and hence nominates that it be combined with a relocated tennis club		
30461 Jan 29, 2022, 10 16 PM	site plan?		None	
30461 Jan 29, 2022, 10 16 PM	How could we have	Consider options	None	IV
30461 Jan 29, 2022, 10 16 PM	made your experience		None	N
30-101 1011 25, 2022, 10 10 1111	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	There is limited user friendly additionality in the plan, just added cost and inconvenience for current stakeholders and community without real consideration for usage. For example the the current proposal moves the tennis club to a smaller, less functional site (which would require additional car parking) and replaces the (existing) tennis courts with car parking (just in a different configuration to current), which runs contrary to the Councils stated aim of minimising the impact of car parking.		
30455 Jan 29, 2022, 10 45 AM			None	N
	How could we have made your experience	Better planning process considering community usage and growth		
30455 Jan 29, 2022, 10 45 AM	better?		None	N
		My biggest issue with the proposed site plan is that I believe it is a total misuse of local ratepayers money. I swim between Elwood Beach & Point Ormond most mornings, am a member of the Elwood Tennis Club, walk a few mornings a week along the foreshore for leisure purposes, am involved with St Kilda City Junior Football Club &		
	site plan?	played for Elwood Cricket Club. Having such an involvement in the local (foreshore) community I don't believe this to be a strong Port Phillip Council priority. The local community feel is both historic & contemporary which I believe creates a tremendous environment that caters for the majority. An ont the minority, I'm sitting here or a separate priority of the p		
		debris. Each morning! walk along the access paths to the foreshore next to the Lady Forster Kindergarten & am continually bemused by the dumping of rubbish (both hard & green waste) that detracts from this morning ritual. These are easy fixes, less costly & far more pressing than the proposed foreshore redevelopment. <u>Kind reservis.</u>		
30447 Jan 28, 2022, 05 31 PM			None	N
		I noted neutral to the above decision making process because 'proof will be in the pudding'. I am heavily involved in the local community & have not spoken to one person who is an active supporter of the proposed foreshore development site.		
30447 Jan 28, 2022, 05 31 PM		A control of the cont	None	N

	7
	ηţ
	7
	ľ
	ור
	₹.
	ă
	Ħ
ı	1

		The Elwood tennis club committees' main concerns are that		
	you'd like to share with	The proposed new site is too small to properly house the existing four tennis courts and the new shared club house.		
	site plan?	The club needs more tennis courts. We have a waiting list of 125 applications.		
		The proposed new site is near a busy intersection with minimal tree screening. We are anxious about the potential community concern with the proposed new development and extra car parking that will be needed.		
		We are concerned about the puter impact the relocation will have on the clubs' maintenance schedule. The club is planning to resurface its courts within the next two years,		
		but we are hesitant to proceed without greater certainty on the timing of any potential move.		
		We believe that the proposed relocation of the tennis courts would be an unnecessary expenditure and would not help the Council achieve its 'Strategic Target' of one property balance sheet sustainability.		
		The current proposal replaces the tennis courts with car parking, which runs contrary to the Councils stated aim of minimising the impact of car parking. I strongly		
30439 Jan 28, 2022, 11 24 AM		support the elwood tennis clubs view as i see it unnecessary to build more carparks that are barely used 3 months of the year	None	No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	The Elwood tennis club committees' main concerns are following and I wholeheartedly agree		
		The proposed new site is too small to properly house the existing four tennis courts and the new shared club house.		
	site plan?	The club needs more tennis courts. We have a waiting list of 125 applications.		
		The proposed new site is near a busy intersection with minimal tree screening. We are anxious about the potential community concern with the proposed new development and extra car parking that will be needed.		
		We are concerned about the impact the relocation will have on the clubs' maintenance schedule. The club is planning to resurface its courts within the next two years,		
		but we are hesitant to proceed without greater certainty on the timing of any potential move. We believe that the proposed relocation of the tennis courts would be an unnecessary expenditure and would not help the Council achieve its 'Strategic Target' of		
		we believe that the proposed relocation of the terms courts would be an unnecessary expenditure and would not neep the council achieve its strategic ranger of ongoing balance sheet sustainability.		
30431 Jan 27, 2022, 05 56 PM		The current proposal replaces the tennis courts with car parking, which runs contrary to the Councils stated aim of minimising the impact of car parking.	None	No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	I'm concerned about the increase in the amount of car parking provided on-site. It sends the message that it's OK to get to the foreshore using your private car—it's		
		Given that this project is partly driven by the necessity of dealing with consequences of climate change, encouraging more driving to the detriment of public transport and	1	
	site plan?	active travel is an unfortunate message to be sending in 2022.		
		One of the goal of this site plan is facilitating movement, but it appears little consideration has been given to improving the connection between the foreshore and Ormond Rd activity centre.		
		For example, making crossing the Ormond Esplanade on foot safer and easier by significantly lowering speeds on the Esplanade, reducing car traffic by improving public		
		transport connectivity, and raising all the crosswalks all seem like low hanging fruits. Extending the network of protected cycle tracks all the way to Ormond Rd. would		
		also help. Additionally, another goal of the plan is to stimulate Economic activity, it appears that some of the space could be used for fresh produce and/or craft market-type		
		activities, or host small community-driven shops.		
30423 Jan 27, 2022, 11 28 AM		Let's make sure that space is actually benefitting people and the local community, instead of simply storing more and more cars.	None	No
		Moving the tennis courts will be an extreme waste of money, going against the stated aims, replacing with car park. New courts will have insufficient tree coverage / protection from wind, appear to be smaller space between courts and will be too far from the beach.		
		The increased amount of car parking will likely attract more people to the already overcrowded beach, directly impacting the enjoyment of the local tax-paying Elwood		
30401 Jan 25, 2022, 08 14 PM	site plan?	residents.	None	Nο
30401 Jan 23, 2022, 08 14 PW	Is there anything else	The area for the tennis courts and club room look far too small. Also there doesn't appear to be enough room for any extra courts when 4 is not enough at this present	None	NO
	you'd like to share with	time.		
	us about the proposed site plan?			
30400 Jan 25, 2022, 06 36 PM			None	No
		Honestly, the plan is beyond daft. The foreshore as it is doesn't need to be changed in anyway whatsoever. It's pedestrian friendly, car friendly and business friendly. The		
	us about the proposed	tennis courts are of special consideration, why remove someone this useful to so many people? This is a daft, pointless exercise in busywork.		
	site plan?			
30391 Jan 25, 2022, 04 03 PM		The state	None	No
	How could we have made your experience	Eh. It was okay.		
30391 Jan 25, 2022, 04 03 PM			None	No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	The loss of the tennis courts to parking seems odd. And there is overflow parking on what I assume is a green space.		
	us about the proposed			
	site plan?			
30386 Jan 25, 2022, 02 32 PM	How could we have	Push information through the website/diversity.	None	No
	made your experience			
30386 Jan 25, 2022, 02 32 PM			None	No
		I strongly disagree with the plan to move the Elwood Tennis Club. This is an important community amenity and it is clear it will be downgraded by the proposed Elwood Foreshore Precinct Preliminary Design Response.		
	us about the proposed	The proposed plan will disadvantage the Elwood and Port Phillip community. The proposal is shortsighted and cynical. It is outrageous to remove a key community asset		
	site plan?	simply to build a massive, heat-producing carpark, just to cater for day-trippers arriving from outside the council area. There are many other beaches visitors can choose to go to in the district, which should be encouraged to help distribute the numbers.		
		This massive development will remove many mature coastal banksia trees and will degrade the coastal environment and gardens that are the pride of local ratepayers		
		and have taken decades of careful management to establish and maintain.		
		The new area proposed for the tennis courts will be cramped. This reduced site is also at a greater distance from the foreshore and will be much hotter in summer, during peak usage, losing the sea breeze that is one of Elwood Tennis Club's current key assets.	8	
		Increasing numbers of beach visitors should not force Port Phillip Council to wipe such an important community amenity off the map and replace it with an inferior		
30384 Jan 25, 2022, 02 08 PM		amenity.	None	No
	How could we have made your experience	I'm not having an 'experience'. I am posting a protest against a poor planning proposal.		
30384 Jan 25, 2022, 02 08 PM			None	No

		Proposed relocation of the tennis club is completely inappropriate and inconvenient for the club members. Please stop this relocation!		
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed			
	site plan?			
30382 Jan 25, 2022, 01 55 PM			None	No
	How could we have made your experience	Please take in consideration that all tennis club members against this relocation.		
30382 Jan 25, 2022, 01 55 PM			None I	No
		The relocation of the tennis courts seems unnecessary for the amount of new car parks this will create, as the present car parking adjoining the tennis courts is not fully		
		utilised. Secondly, there are 125 people on the waiting list to join Elwood Tennis Club, so by only building 4 courts instead of say 6 courts does not solve what is a greater		
	site plan?	need in the community versus the proposed car parking.		
30375 Jan 25, 2022, 12 53 PM			None	No
		Points of view are taken and listened to, but not acted upon.		
30375 Jan 25, 2022, 12 53 PM	made your experience		None	Nο
30373 Jan 23, 2022, 12 33 FW		1. You will be destroying a great tennis club and courts. The proposed new site is ridiculous in that the courts won't be parallel and in an inconvenient location as well as	Note	40
		issues that can arise from shared a club house.		
	us about the proposed site plan?	2. The club actually needs more tennis courts. There is already a large number of members as well as a very long waiting list of over 100.		
		3. The proposed new site is near a busy intersection which would mean increased traffic noise levels.		
30374 Jan 25, 2022, 12 46 PM		I suggest it's better to make the plan work without moving the tennis courts, and preferably, include 2 additional tennis courts in the plan. Tennis club needs more courts not jammed into a croquet club. There's hundreds of members on the waiting list and loads of unused space.	None	10
	you'd like to share with			
	us about the proposed			
20272 1 25 2022 42 45 044	site plan?		None	
30373 Jan 25, 2022, 12 45 PM	How could we have	Not ruin my tennis club	None	VO
	made your experience			
30373 Jan 25, 2022, 12 45 PM			None	No
		The existing second junior football/cricket oval adjacent to the croquet club appears to be lost in the future development - it is not shown on the future plan and it is partly occupied by the relocated tennis club. This oval serves hundreds of junior footballers and cricketers as well as senior cricketers. It must remain		
	us about the proposed	party occupied by the relocated terms close. This other series industries from boundary and exercises are in Series districted.		
	site plan?			
30337 Jan 24, 2022, 01 08 PM	How could we have	Responding quicker to questions asked on line (I am yet to see a response). Also, two of the three questions I asked "disappeared from your web page??????	None	10
	made your experience	nesponding quienci to questions usine from yet to see a response; Assay, two or the times questions rushed unsuppendent on the page		
30337 Jan 24, 2022, 01 08 PM				No
30337 Jan 24, 2022, 01 08 PM	Is there anything else	It would be awesome to have public table tennis available, and a more functional workout area (the current one doesn't really service anyone looking for a real workout).		No
30337 Jan 24, 2022, 01 08 PM				No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with			
30337 Jan 24, 2022, 01 08 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?			No No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?			
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better?	Communication was effective so nothing really	None I	
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to	None I	No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with	Communication was effective so nothing really	None I	No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to	None I	No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement	None I	No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an	None I	No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement	None I	No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to sharith us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an	None I	No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site ann it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all: It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildozing the tennis courts and tennis dub to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made pour experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildoxing the tennis coult to be replaced with more car parks So wrong in every way. This tennis club at outs are maintained by the members the lights were paid for by the members. What you are wanting to do will control be beauty, them and	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Communication was effective so nothing really I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site ann it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all: It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildozing the tennis courts and tennis dub to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This	None I No	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 95 SAM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement. Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site am it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildozing the tennis courts and tennis club to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members - the lights were paid for by the members. What you are wanting to do will destroy the beauty, charm and quaintness of Elwood fresshore, the amount of money and time it will take is abhorrent. Separation of the blike path from pedestrians is very good - almost back to the original route! I suggest installing some chicanes to slow the bikes down and many riders go	None I No	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 95 SAM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildoxing the tennis courts and tennis club to be replaced with more car parks So wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members the lights were paid for by the members. What you are wanting to do will destroy the beauty, charm and quaintness of Elwood foreshore, the amount of money and time it will take is abhorrent. Separation of the bike path from pedestrians is very good - almost back to the original route! I suggest installing some chicanes to slow the bikes down and many riders go	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 95 SAM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site am it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildozing the tennis courts and tennis dub to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members - the lights were paid for by the members. What you are wanting to do will destroy the beauty, charm and quaintness of Elwood fresshore, the amount of money and time it will take is abhorrent. Separation of the blike path from pedestrians is very good - almost back to the original route! I suggest installing some chicanes to slow the blikes down and many riders go toof sat through this highly trafficked area.	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 95 SAM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site am it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildooing the tennis courts and tennis dub to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members - the lights were paid for by the members. What you are wanting to do will destroy the beauty, charm and quaintness of Elwood fresshore, the amount of money and time it will lake is abhorrent. Separation of the bike path from pedestrians is very good - almost back to the original route! I suggest installing some chicanes to slow the bikes down and many riders go too fast through this highly trafficked area. Scout Hall is undertuitised and should on the retained, unless it becomes a multi-use facility for the large number of swimming groups. The current building is an eyesore Tolled showers/ change areas need to be drastically increased, with open undercover areas to sit.	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 95 SAM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildicing the tennis courts and tennis club to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 95 SAM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement. Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site am it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildooing the tennis courts and tennis dub to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members - the lights were paid for by the members. What you are wanting to do will destroy the beauty, charm and quaintness of Elwood fresshore, the amount of money and time it will lake is abhorrent. Separation of the bike path from pedestrians is very good - almost back to the original route! I suggest installing some chicanes to slow the bikes down and many riders go too fast through this highly trafficked area. Scout Hall is undertuitised and should on the retained, unless it becomes a multi-use facility for the large number of swimming groups. The current building is an eyesore Tolled showers/ change areas need to be drastically increased, with open undercover areas to sit. Need bike racks to encourage swimments to ride to the beach These is an acute shortage of rubbish bins at the moment	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30322 Jan 23, 2022, 09 55 AM 30224 Jan 20, 2022, 04 19 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildicing the tennis courts and tennis club to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members	None I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 95 SAM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildicoing the tennis courts and tennis cub to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members	None I I None I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30322 Jan 23, 2022, 09 55 AM 30224 Jan 20, 2022, 04 19 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have well as about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildcoing the tennis courts and tennis club at one outs are maintained by the members the lights were paid for by the members. What you are wanting to do will destroy the beauty, charm and quaintness of Elwood foreshore, the amount of money and time it will take is abhorrent. Separation of the bike path from pedestrians is very good - almost back to the original route! I suggest installing some chicanes to slow the bikes down and many riders go to fast through this highly trafficed area. Scout Hall is underutilised and should not be retained, unless it becomes a multi-use facility for the large number of swimming groups. The current building is an eyesore Tolledy showers, change areas need to be drastically increased, with open undercover areas to sit. Need bike racks to encourage swimmers to ride to the beach These is a nacute shortage of rubbish bins at the moment Why have such a large area for boat trailers - boats should be using the North Road boat launching ramp!	None I I None I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	No No No
30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30324 Jan 23, 2022, 10 15 AM 30323 Jan 23, 2022, 10 07 AM 30322 Jan 23, 2022, 09 55 AM 30224 Jan 20, 2022, 04 19 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I believe a better public workout facility (the one by the dock is not that functional) be in place and also public table tennis structures be put in place of the restaurant to encourage more recreational and movement Is there any way you could include 1-2 outdoor table tennis tables? During a lockdown last year, a local resident temporarily placed a table tennis table within this site an it become a central recreational / spots focus point of the entire Elwood neighbourhood. A permanent table tennis installation would have very positive outcomes for all. It is truly disgraceful, pulling down beautiful buildings that are part of the charm and history of our beloved Elwood and replacing them with disgraceful infrastructure. These buildings are beautiful and should be maintained. Buildicoing the tennis courts and tennis cub to be replaced with more car parksSo wrong in every way. This tennis club and courts are maintained by the members	None	No No No

	you'd like to share with	I would much rather see a slow burn renovation and uplift. I do not wish to see the old buildings replaced, but I do wish the toilet block to be renovated to encourage better care from the community.	
	us about the proposed site plan?		
30283 Jan 20, 2022, 11 50 AM			None
30283 Jan 20, 2022, 11 50 AM	How could we have made your experience	The documentation was very confusing.	None
30283 Jan 20, 2022, 11 30 AW	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	A whole lot of disruption and inconvenience to residents will neglical gain. A waste of time an money. Stop making jobs for yourselves. Provide services to residents instead.	iviie
	us about the proposed site plan?		
30270 Jan 19, 2022, 06 38 PM			None
	How could we have	Deliver services not useless change to boost your egos.	
30270 Jan 19, 2022, 06 38 PM	made your experience		None
30270 3011 23, 2022, 00 30 1 10	Is there anything else	Why knock down beautiful old buildings to build more characteriess bland cubes? Why? The site is functional, and if you disagree on that point it at least has a distinct and unique character and aesthetic that sets it apart from other bayside areas. You are destroying that.	
30269 Jan 19, 2022, 05 53 PM			None
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	How could we have made your experience	Wish you had never been bored enough to try and propose this change	
30269 Jan 19, 2022, 05 53 PM			None
	you'd like to share with	no one in the community wants this to go ahead, we want to maintain the history and charm of the already existing buildings, not tear them down. Not more and more carparks so the beach and surrounds become b busier and busier. spend this money listening to what the community actually wants, better waste management and more sustainability projects such as green waste.	
30265 Jan 19, 2022, 02 42 PM			None
	How could we have	there should be a community meeting regarding this, you should be more across what people think at the ground level and comments etc about what the community thinks on social media platforms	
30265 Jan 19, 2022, 02 42 PM		tilliks on social media piatroms	None
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?		
30260 Jan 19, 2022, 07 11 AM			None
	How could we have made your experience	I don't like finding this information on Facebook - a lot of people aren't on social media - how are they informed about your plans?	
30260 Jan 19, 2022, 07 11 AM			None
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?		
30259 Jan 18, 2022, 10 09 PM			None
	you'd like to share with	It seems totally ignore economic considerations and maximising not only various uses but the economic advantages of the site. Why destroy one of the few areas where we can sit over a coffee or meal with a view of the ocean—madness. Surely in a rate capped environment council should be more alive to maximising the economic returning the maximising the economic returning the site and therefore taking pressure off ratepayers.	
30258 Jan 18, 2022, 09 25 PM			None
	How could we have made your experience	The first list of survey questions are a stacked deck - what about more open survey to get genuine feedback	
30258 Jan 18, 2022, 09 25 PM			None
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	More user friendly spaces for the lifesaving club	
30257 Jan 18, 2022, 09 18 PM			None
	How could we have	work well and in favour with the needs of community groups and facilities	
20257140 2022 00 40 014	made your experience		No.
30257 Jan 18, 2022, 09 18 PM	Is there anything else	Not sure about loosing Elwood sailing club facade. It's very cute.	None
	you'd like to share with	n Love the new connection to st kilda road - excellent!	
	site plan?	Love moving the car parking off the water front - outstanding!	
30255 Jan 18, 2022, 07 53 PM			None
	How could we have made your experience	Photos of the existing site with proposed changes overlaid.	
30255 Jan 18, 2022, 07 53 PM			None
		The move of the tennis club to be next to the croquet club is ill conceived as it does not take into consideration the areas required per court and court lighting or requirements and the need for more than 4 courts.	
	us about the proposed		
30254 Jan 18, 2022, 05 51 PM	site plan?		None
3023-3811 10, 2022, 03 31 PW	How could we have	Better consultation with the tennis club regarding proposed relocation.	none.
	made your experience		
30254 Jan 18, 2022, 05 51 PM	petter?		None

٠	
7	
+	
2	
Q	Ľ
8	
Ч	
(١
C	C
#	
	٢

			Whilst I agree with some of the proposed works for the Elwood foreshore such as renovating the Scout Hall, Angling Club and Life Saving Club, widening the footpath on the south side of Ormond Esplanade and moving the bike path I believe that the proposal is far too ambitious for the following reasons		
		site plan?	1. I question the benefit of co-locating the tennis courts with the Croquet club. This would destroy work done over the last two or three years introducing new vegetation into the very area proposed for the relocated tennis courts. Has anyone given any thought to what may be required to relocate the vent pipes next to the Croquet Club? These pipes are for the drain that runs from the Elwood Canal, under both Elstermick and Elwood Parks to the Head Street outfall. Finally, it seems to me that there is enough room to widen the existing footpath near the tennis courts without the need for this relocation. 2. I do not think that relocating the restaurant to an area meters away from the 100 year high water mark is necessary especially as there are no plans to improve the sea		
			wall to contain the sea water the same time. I also believe that moving the restaurant away from the water would detract from the ambience of the restaurant. If it has to be rebuilt then could it not be raised on the same footprint as the existing building? 3. What is the schedule for this staged project? If it he staging is heavily back-neded, then I suspect that the true cost will be greater than the proposed \$50M.		
			4. With a the salroute of this Stages project, in the staging a literary accelerance, when stages are the stage projects of SSOM based upon today 5 oldistric, it using INPV to calculate the budget? 5. If there is to be increased parking in Head Street, will this require road widening? If so, will it interfere with the trees planted on the oval or the recently installed lighting? If not, there will not be enough space for two wholice to pass one another.		
:	0250 Jan 18, 2022, 04 29 PM	How could we have made your experience	I only heard about this project through Divercity. I was not invited to provide input to the community consultation.	None	No
:	0250 Jan 18, 2022, 04 29 PM	better? Is there anything else	Far too much green park being converted to car park. Big thumbs down. This plan is builshit. Just an excuse to expand car parking and club buildings, all of which are for members only. We need to increase trees and green spaces. This plan does the opposite. Another waste of council money	None	No
		us about the proposed site plan?	intenders unity. We need to increase deep and green spaces. This plant does the opposite, reliable waste or council money		
	0249 Jan 18, 2022, 04 15 PM	How could we have made your experience	The questions you ask are ridiculously limited and restrictive. The plans presented do not resemble the waffly descriptions	None	No
:		better? Is there anything else	Leave the foreshore as it is. Many generations of my family have lived in Elwood, some still reside there. The history and character of the foreshore should not be	None	No
		you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	changed just for changes sake !		
3	0244 Jan 18, 2022, 02 30 PM		I think the life saving building definitely need re doing! There very old and tired	None	No
		you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?			
	0238 Jan 18, 2022, 11 22 AM		No way	None	No
:	0238 Jan 18, 2022, 11 22 AM	made your experience better?	The reason why the area is so loved as it is, is because of how underdeveloped and natural it is. There is already plenty of community activities happening in the space,	None	No
		you'd like to share with us about the proposed	we don't need to fancy new sailing club or basketball court to do that!		
:	0234 Jan 18, 2022, 12 31 AM		The plans were difficult to read and understand.	None	No
	0234 Jan 18, 2022, 12 31 AM	made your experience	me plans were unificuit to read and understand.	None	Nο
			Open up visibility between ormond esplanade and bay. Currently hidden by dense scrub land, unkempt non indigenous weeds and multiple dead trees blocking pedestrian access		
	0233 Jan 17, 2022, 09 32 PM	site plan?		None	Nο
		Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Heated swimming pool needed.		
3	0232 Jan 17, 2022, 07 37 PM	site plan?		None	No
		Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	I'd like to see more decent hospitality options.		
	0231 Jan 17, 2022, 05 47 PM	site plan?		None	Nο
		you'd like to share with	I'd like to see accessible beach access, like Hampton beach where there is a carpet outside the lifesaving club that allows wheelchairs and prams to access the beach.		
		site plan?	More local vendors on the beach - I.e pop ups for food, drinks. A beach bar like port Melbourne beach has or anywhere in Europe would be great.		
	0224 Jan 17, 2022, 11 52 AM	How could we have	Clearer details on each area of the proposal	None	No
:	0224 Jan 17, 2022, 11 52 AM		Posts and the constitution and decreased in and battle little accounts.	None	No
		you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Better nature revegitation, sand regeneration and better litter management		
:	0220 Jan 17, 2022, 09 13 AM	site plan?		None	No

		It needs to be like the European beaches where you can go for the day and everything is there and you don't need to bring you're whole house and infustructure to the beach for a day out! Just your towel. That would be heaven and then I woohave to go on a plane for 24hrs to get an experience	
30219 Jan 17, 2022, 08 42 AN	Is there anything else	The kiosk on Elwood beach needs a major rethink - it is current from the 80s, no amendable, the food is both healthy. It should be brought up to current day and be mandatory that fresh, health, real food is sold to set a good example for kids.	None
30218 Jan 16, 2022, 06 11 PN	Is there anything else	To reduce air and noise pollution as well general waste due to increased number of visitors, there should not be such a substantial increase in car parking. The elected council has promised to keep the foreshore nature friendly and this should stay this way.	None
30217 Jan 16, 2022, 05 59 PN	How could we have made your experience	They survey does not represent the extent of the project, should be detailed. Questions included represent little community opinion.	None
30217 Jan 16, 2022, 05 59 PN	1 better? Is there anything else	Traffic and parking in local streets and roads adjoining the Elwood beach, foreshore and sports facilities are a great concern to residents, especially over the summer months. The very poor water quality caused by the storm water drainage doesn't make it a safe beach to swim.	None
30215 Jan 16, 2022, 03 10 PN	How could we have made your experience	The site plan document isn't easy to read, and a summary of the project is missing.	None
30215 Jan 16, 2022, 03 10 PN	1 better?	The decision to separate the bike path seems unnecessary and costly - While there is a "collision zone", there does not seem to be any concerns from both pedestrians and cyclists.	None
	site plan?	The amendment of the "on site car parking" actually seems to increase the number of car spots available, potentially increasing traffic.	
		The inclusion of a "medium" event space, as well as combining the spaces seems unnecessary. As well as removing the road partition, which at the moment, allows two events to run concurrently, without crossover.	
30214 Jan 16, 2022, 03 03 PN	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	The movement of the tennis courts also has potential issues. It does not allow for further expansion. It may cause noise issues for the residents of nearby properties, as well as light issues at night. It also seems like an unnecessary cost a full of the construction of the constructio	None
30210 Jan 16, 2022, 09 01 AN	site plan?		None
	How could we have made your experience	Easier to view the plans, perhaps a video? The plans are difficult to imagine and interpret	
30210 Jan 16, 2022, 09 01 AN		There is lack of dog friendly spaces in the proposed plans	None
30207 Jan 16, 2022, 08 23 AN	1		None
30207 Jan 16, 2022, 08 23 AN	How could we have made your experience	No notification received to be involved. Found this on a Facebook link	None
30207 3011 20, 2022, 30 23 744		Restaurant away from the beach front makes no sense to me.	
30206 Jan 15, 2022, 08 13 PN	How could we have	Notify residents	None
30206 Jan 15, 2022, 08 13 PN	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed		None
30205 Jan 15, 2022, 05 04 PN	site plan?		None
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Thank you for addressing the bike path problem. The current situation is unworkable and frequently results in bike/pedestrian collisions or near collisions in numerous places including behind Salis, at the foreshore intersection, and in front of the sailing club. These problems simply have to be addressed, and the new proposal looks much more sensible and workable.	
30204 Jan 15, 2022, 02 23 PN	How could we have	Prominent notices on the foreshore would assist in notifying more people of the proposal. Our family regularly use the forshore for a variety of activities, but only found	None
30204 Jan 15, 2022, 02 23 PN	1 better?	out about the proposal via our Elwood Sailing Club membership I would like to see the tennis courts remain where they are and more courts added. There is a long waitlist for the Elwood tennis club and more courts are required. Also	None
		don't think it is a good idea to locate courts close to a busy intersection.	
30202 Jan 15, 2022, 12 41 PM			None

No

Attachment 1:

		you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	to dely find to see the plant method city resourcements of matches proposed plant million mile.	
	30199 Jan 15, 2022, 07 59 AM	How could we have	Provide a visual concept of what it will look like (not just a map with some reference/colour spots on it)	None
	30199 Jan 15, 2022, 07 59 AM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	What about pet friendly femced and even an area for water play. What about shallow pool/play area eg Brisbane river precinct which is well utilised. Sea is often too polluted to enter. Let's include more activities for kids both very young and young teens	None
3	30196 Jan 14, 2022, 12 59 PM	site plan? How could we have	I would like to know actual demographics of area and focus on providing for local community not visitors	None
:	30196 Jan 14, 2022, 12 59 PM		I don't think it's necessary to revamp an already accessible lovely area. I'm happy with the space as it is. This is a waste of Council money that could be better spent elsewhere.	None
:	30195 Jan 13, 2022, 10 38 PM		I agree with the plan, but execution is the key.	None
:	30194 Jan 13, 2022, 09 56 PM		Same as last answer, its circumstantial until the work is carried out. Other areas including roads need work around Elwood.	None
:	30194 Jan 13, 2022, 09 56 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with	Why do we need to change a place that is already amazing, fit for purpose and that we all in this community enjoy. Please spend this enormous amount of money on the things that are critically needed like proper placemaking on Acland & Fitzroy St, and additional child care centers that support families. Despite the buildings in question being of an era that the Council doesn't value architecturally, they are still part of Elwood's history nonetheless. Tearing down existing buildings is like burning a library.	None
:	30193 Jan 13, 2022, 08 53 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	People have memories and connect to these places. Look around at the insensitive development all over Melbourne to see how we are decaying our city's soul and history. Please don't do that to Elwood. More dining and commercial offering is encouraged	None
	30192 Jan 13, 2022, 08 06 PM		Can you please shade the grassed areas and get rid of those hideous cinder block buildings.	None
	30191 Jan 13, 2022, 07 45 PM		We need some big gum trees to provide shad along the grassy areas of the foreshore. The sun exposure is unfriendly in this climate. I think the sailing club building is very retro nd groovy, and ought to be retained.	None
	30190 Jan 13, 2022, 07 30 PM	How could we have made your experience	The plan provided is very vague. 'New sailing club buildings' tells me very little.	None
-	30190 Jan 13, 2022, 07 30 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	Restaurant needs to be family friendly like the surf clubs in nsw and qld. Ideally open up to and outdoor space where kids can play. Whilst I like the idea of the sailing and angling clubs, I question how many people use it regularly. I never see people up on the deck using the space. I would prefer a larger mulit purpose facility across all clubs (angling, sailing, life saving) with as hospitality space for the general public.	None
	30189 Jan 13, 2022, 04 36 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	1. There were no details in the plan regarding management of storm water. 2. Details of building redesigns and specific costs are not in the site plan. 3. Provision for a swimming pontoon (ref. 4.26 in PPCC Mtg 17/2/21) is not included as suggested. 4. The site plan does not detail any recognition/involvement of local indigenous community (as per 4.26 in PPCC Mtg 17/2/21).	None
3	30177 Jan 12, 2022, 04 35 PM	How could we have	4. The site phan tools not useful any recognition/involvement or local magnitude commitming use part 2 and IPPCL Mig 12/221. 5. Council should investigate the cost of a sea wall similar to that near the Toilet Block to prevent storm surges to protect beachings. This looks like a fait accompil. Community meetings should have been held to discuss options and alternatives which should have been costed for further community consultation. I would hope that this type of forum would still be available to the community	None
	30177 Jan 12, 2022, 04 35 PM	better?		None

Is there anything else It's very hard to see the plan without any visual concepts of what the proposed plan will look like.

7	
٦	Ξ
9	
(1
8	1
3	
(
(ĭ
4	
<	1

		To propose moving the very well established tennis club is ridiculous. There should actually be a plan to increase the club by an extra court to accommodate the needs of a club which has people on the waiting list.		
	site plan?	The tennis courts are very well protected from wind and street noise with trees which have taken years to grow. The clubhouse has recently had a nice kitchen renovation and some new lights.		
		The proposed court site offers no parking nearby, has only four courts on a diagonal which would mean running wide onto adjacent courts to hit balls and would have the incorrect orientation.		
		As drawn up the cost of building would be huge, with all courts needing their own fencing and lighting. Playing in these would feel like being in a cage. The clubhouse would not be directly beside the courts which creates a pleasant atmosphere.		
		The car park is only completely full on a few very hot days a summer and on the handful of triathlon days. Extra parking is not needed.		
30176 Jan 12, 2022, 04 25 PM	How could we have made your experience	Is the council looking to increase its revenue with parking fees? I, as a rate payer, don't want to pay for visitors extra parking spaces. A sign with detailed proposed changes should be emailed/posted to all local residents. A sign stuck in the car park is not adequate.	None !	lo
30176 Jan 12, 2022, 04 25 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There does not appear to be any dog friendly areas of the redevelopment?	None !	lo
30175 Jan 12, 2022, 02 58 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Bicycle paths through foreshore area need speed bumps to slow cyclists through shared zones and pedestrian traffic areas. Egoutside Elwood Bathers. Exceptionally dangerous for young kids leaving the beach. Dangerous riders need to be curtailed and slowed in these areas.	None 1	10
30173 Jan 12, 2022, 01 30 PM			None f	۷o
	you'd like to share with	The proposed new tennis club site is too small to properly house the existing four tennis courts and the new shared club house. It will not be in a user friendly position due to minimal tree screening, fewer car spots, located near a busy intersection. It is a popular club with a large number of people in membership waiting list. Moving the club to a site with the above limitations/concerns will mean that many people in the area will not have a chance of joining the club (which is why the club has been planning to add 2 more cours!).		
30168 Jan 11, 2022, 03 47 PM		As a resident I strongly disagree with the proposed parallel parking along Head Street, on the Croquet club side of the street and an overflow carpari	None	10
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	As a real-term of the analysis of the state		
30165 Jan 11, 2022, 12 27 PM	How could we have	By not even proposing this extra car parking.	None	10
30165 Jan 11, 2022, 12 27 PM			None **	No
		Current facilities provide a good set of activities to which people have adapted and learned to embrace. The later has created a lifestyle for the community which would not be benefited by a change of this proportion.		
30162 Jan 10, 2022, 01 50 PM		Great initiative by Council. Key elements will be the redesign of the bike path/pedestrian to create a safer environment particularly at the back of Bathers.	None	No
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Look forward to the reduction in asphalt and increased vegetation. Architectural designed modern buildings will be a great addition to the foreshore and community.		
30161 Jan 10, 2022, 01 20 PM		Will the refreshed buildings be available to the public or handed back to the current users?	None f	۷o
	How could we have made your experience	Pop up/ drop in stand along Elwood foreshore. Zoom/Teams webinar Q&A session.		
30161 Jan 10, 2022, 01 20 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	The pedestrian accessibility isn't clear in your plans. More pedestrian crossing across Ormond Esplanade is great but please have families with prams and kids in mind when planning these. The current pedestrian crossing by pine avenue is the worse with a pram. The pavement is way too high (I recently had to help a man with a wheel chair get down it) and impossible to get to the beach once you have crossed over. You either have to cross the oval or go around it and through the bushes and if there is agame on or the current works you have to go all the way around and up the sports centra and beak down. Seriously painful journey with kids in tow and a pram and beach gear. So much so that I'd rather drive down so I can park right by the beach and it's 20m to the beach and easy with the kids. But you're now suggesting moving th		lo
		carpark all the way to the edge of the esplanade. Also what is happening to the playground that's been half dismounted for the past 2 years? It's not mentioned in the plans. Please can this be included and revamped.		
20455 1 00 2022 07 77		I feel like the whole design is strongly focused on sporting and not on families and kids Including their accessibility needs and amenities		
30155 Jan 09, 2022, 09 22 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	I feel like the whole design is strongly focused on sporting and not on families and kids including their accessibility needs and amenities The life saving club is an essential service that needs a larger area allocated to it to support the nippers program. It's nowhere near a big enough building envelope to provide for the club as it continues to grow in both membership and importance. It's the only essential service in the precinct.	None	No
30155 Jan 09, 2022, 09 22 PM 30152 Jan 08, 2022, 05 42 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	The life saving club is an essential service that needs a larger area allocated to it to support the nippers program. It's nowhere near a big enough building envelope to provide for the club as it continues to grow in both membership and importance. It's the only essential service in the precinct.	None I	No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience	The life saving club is an essential service that needs a larger area allocated to it to support the nippers program. It's nowhere near a big enough building envelope to	None 1	No No

	you'd like to share with	I really found it hard to understand from your maps what you plan. I am worried about buildings being demolished and lost history and I am concerned about the stonework. I am devastated with what happened to the bridge & stonework at StKilda and the loss of history with recent re-development. I do not want to see a 'contempory' idea, as that will ruin the vibe.		
30144 Jan 07, 2022, 08 05 PN		I don't feel your proposal for Elwood is clear enough, you should show virtual photos that help as it's very scary to loose your favourite places that have meaning.	None	No
30144 Jan 07, 2022, 08 05 PN			None	No
		I do not want the Elwood Park Tennis Club ('EPTC') relocated. I do not want the current site of the EPTC turned into car parking.		
30140 Jan 07, 2022, 04 06 PN	site plan?		None	No
	Is there anything else	As a member of the tennis club I think the move to facilitate car parking is very detrimental. The car park is only full on certain days of the year and the overflow is rarely full. At present a large area is taken up with piles of mulch. Moving a well utilised club for car parking seems not in keeping with the council's aim of reducing reliance on		
		cars. Many of the people coming to the beach will not be local whereas the club is full of locals. The proposed new site is too small to properly house the existing four tennis courts and the new shared club house.		
		The club needs more tennis courts. There is a waiting list of over 100 people. We need to be encouraging people particularly older people to stay active and tennis is one way to do this over a life time		
		I think that the proposed relocation of the tennis courts would mean unnecessary expenditure and would not help the Council achieve its 'Strategic Target' of ongoing balance sheet sustainability.		
30137 Jan 07, 2022, 03 01 PM	1	I also question the need to rebuild the sailing club. Maybe it could be renovated at less expense. I think moving the bike path away for the walking path is a good idea	None	No
	How could we have made your experience	Maybe a more succinct overview of the changes. It was a lot to take in		
30137 Jan 07, 2022, 03 01 PN		1 strongly disagree with the relocation of the tennis club. The club has been developed over 90 years to its present high standard and any move would be negative. The	None	No
		club needs two more courts to meet the demands of the tennis community and the new plan does not address this at all, so it does not reflect the recreation needs of Elwood. There are currently 125 applications (over 250 people) awaiting membership and there are no other courts in the area.		
30134 Jan 07, 2022, 12 27 PN	site plan?		None	No
	How could we have made your experience	The information provided is insufficient. The question about the design of the buildings is impossible as there is no design provided.		
30134 Jan 07, 2022, 12 27 PN		Increased traffic on Head Street is completely unacceptable. The street cannot cope with any additional traffic movement whatsoever. The intersection at Head and St	None	No
	us about the proposed	Kilda Street is extremely dangerous with vehicles regularly running through red lights (mostly north bound). The concept of relocating the tennis courts is unacceptable in the proposed location and presents significant dangers for children and adults at the nearby intersection as		
	site plan?	it would become the main conduit for Elwood residents. The proposed adjacent car park and overflow car parking is also unacceptable due to the needs of both the cricket, junior football, soccer, and touch rugby clubs. Grounds	5	
		are scarce and use of the small oval is critical across clubs year round. Elwood beach is crowded enough without inviting more traffic movement / visitation from outer suburbs.		
		The proposal is also an extraordinarily poor use of scarce CoPP funds. The best community and economic solution is to spend the capital on the StKilda Triangle and leave Elwood alone - with the exception of improved planting and a reduction of car spaces.		
		The other alternative position for car parking at Elwood foreshore is behind Pt Ormond. Vehicle movement is significantly better and there is little direct impact to residents. The area, including the bushes between the service road and Ormond Esp, needs cleaning up with better safety measures and security patrolling. At night, the		
30133 Jan 07, 2022, 11 52 AN	How could we have	area is currently frequented by hoons and peculiar people. Fix this first please! Given the proposed changes at Head Street, the residents who live in Bayside will be furious. The consultation process outside CoPP has been non existent to date.	None	No
30133 Jan 07, 2022, 11 52 AN			None	No
	you'd like to share with	Tennis courts are currently well sited and functional. The proposal puts them in a worse position with less land. It seems to be a case of demolishing a good facility, spending an enormous amount of money only to create a worse facility.		
	us about the proposed site plan?			
30132 Jan 07, 2022, 08 10 AN		Not sure what this question refers to	None	NO
30132 Jan 07, 2022, 08 10 AN	1 better?	I struggle to see how this aligns with any of your goals. It seems an unnecessary waste of rate payers money with little to no real practical improvement or benefits	None	No
		1 struggle to see how this angrowith any or your goals. It seems an unnecessary waste or rate payers money with indice to no real practical improvement or definition besides more car parking		
30130 Jan 06, 2022, 11 29 PN	site plan?		None	No
	How could we have made your experience	Very difficult interactive map to read. Too busy with icons hiding other icons. Very little detail just headlines. Hard to really understand the point with minimal information.		
30130 Jan 06, 2022, 11 29 PN	1 better?	The proposed new site is too small to properly house the existing four tennis courts and the new shared club house.	None	No
	us about the proposed	The club needs more tennis courts. We have a waiting list of 125 applications. The proposed new site is near a busy intersection with minimal tree screening.		
	site plan?	We are anxious about the potential community concern with the proposed new development and extra car parking that will be needed. We are concerned about the impact the relocation will have on the clubs' maintenance schedule. The club is planning to resurface its courts within the next two years,		
		but we are hesitant to proceed without greater certainty on the timing of any potential move. We believe that the proposed relocation of the tennis courts would be an unnecessary expenditure and would not help the Council achieve its 'Strategic Target' of		
30129 Jan 06, 2022, 10 26 PN	1	ongoing balance sheet sustainability. The current proposal replaces the tennis courts with car parking, which runs contrary to the Councils stated aim of minimising the impact of car parking.	None	No

	you'd like to share with	The proposed move of the current location of the tennis courts/tennis club to a smaller area where courts are spread out is a waste of money. Rebuild/move to a less suitable location		
	us about the proposed site plan?	Replacing the current tennis club with a car park is reducing the amenity of the area and discouraging healthy sports.		
30126 Jan 06, 2022, 05 47 PM	How could we have	More time. Doing the consultation outside of Christmas holiday periods	None	No
	made your experience	work time. Doing the consultation dustate of christmas holiday periods		
30126 Jan 06, 2022, 05 47 PM		I'd hate for the existing tennis courts to be turned into parking.	None	No
	you'd like to share with	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	us about the proposed site plan?			
30125 Jan 06, 2022, 05 28 PM	Is there anything else	I reiterate the Elwood Tennis Club's views ie	None	ю
	you'd like to share with			
		 The proposed new site is too small to properly house the existing four tennis courts and the new shared club house. The club needs more tennis courts. We have a waiting list of 125 applications. 		
		3) The proposed new site is near a busy intersection with minimal tree screening.		
		4) We are anxious about the potential community concern with the proposed new development and extra car parking that will be needed. 5) We are concerned about the impact the relocation will have on the clubs' maintenance schedule. The club is planning to resurface its courts within the next two years,		
		but we are hesitant to proceed without greater certainty on the timing of any potential move.		
		5) We believe that the proposed relocation of the tennis courts would be an unnecessary expenditure and would not help the Council achieve its 'Strategic Target' of ongoing balance sheet sustainability.		
		6) The current proposal replaces the tennis courts with car parking, which runs contrary to the Councils stated aim of minimising the impact of car parking.		
30124 Jan 06, 2022, 04 43 PM		7) and in my opinion, the proposed layout of the tennis courts would make it very difficult to run community competitions effectively and enjoyably	None	No
		It would have been appreciated if council had put up Alister or signage of the proposed changes. As is required when we "plan" a home renovation or build. If it wasn't fo the Tennis Club informing us, I would be unaware of any proposed changes	i	
30124 Jan 06, 2022, 04 43 PM	better?		None	No
		The area at present is fantastic. Tennis courts are in a great sheltered position with big trees surrounding the area for noise barriers and seating area is very inviting with lots of shade and serenity.		
	us about the proposed	Don't go messing with something that already works		
30121 Jan 06, 2022, 12 25 PM	site plan?		None	٧o
		Na		
30121 Jan 06, 2022, 12 25 PM	made your experience better?		None	No
		We have concerns about the relocation of the tennis club - as follows The proposed new site is too small to properly house the existing four tennis courts and the new shared club house.		
	us about the proposed	The club needs more tennis courts. We have a waiting list of 125 applications.		
		The proposed new site is near a busy intersection with minimal tree screening. We are anxious about the potential community concern with the proposed new development and extra car parking that will be needed.		
		We are concerned about the impact the relocation will have on the clubs' maintenance schedule. The club is planning to resurface its courts within the next two years,		
		but we are hesitant to proceed without greater certainty on the timing of any potential move. We believe that the proposed relocation of the tennis courts would be an unnecessary expenditure and would not help the Council achieve its 'Strategic Target' of		
30120 Jan 06, 2022, 12 00 PM		ongoing balance sheet sustainability.	None	
30120 Jan 06, 2022, 12 00 PM		The current proposal replaces the tennis courts with car parking, which runs contrary to the Councils stated aim of minimising the impact of car parking. I was only made aware of this consultation opportunity via my local tennis club. I would be otherwise unaware of it.	None	10
30120 Jan 06, 2022, 12 00 PM	made your experience		None	۷n
30120 Jan 00, 2022, 12 00 FW	Is there anything else	1 to access the "overflow car parking" cars will cross the bike path as will all persons arriving by car - this is dangerous to bike riders and pedestrians alike	Note	10
		2Please produce the traffic flow data for the existing car park use over the last 12 months 3 realistically there can be no increase in the amount of recreational activity in the area because of the new design- in summer use is maxed out in any event		
	site plan?	4 Covid has already substantially increased the recreational use of the area and the substantial financial cost of implementing it need to be careful scrutinised		
		5 overall the new plan fails to address the criteria set out in a positive way - it seems largely to be aimed at regiging the car parking arrang at what will be at great financia cost - my suggestion is to do away with car parks altogether and plan for more public transportation to and from the area		
30119 Jan 06, 2022, 11 57 AM			None	٧o
	How could we have made your experience	More details regarding alternative options considered should be tabled		
30119 Jan 06, 2022, 11 57 AM	better?		None	No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	Don't destroy the best Tennis courts in Melbourne		
	us about the proposed			
30117 Jan 06, 2022, 10 13 AM	site plan?		None	٧o
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	The tennis club seems to have been short-changed, with no extra courts, a loss of dedicated facilities and reduced parking/access. It would be good if this could be		
	us about the proposed	auuresseu.		
30116 Jan 06, 2022, 10 10 AM	site plan?		None	No.
30210 Jan 00, 2022, 10 10 AW		I was only made aware of proposed changes via the tennis club	none	
30116 Jan 06, 2022, 10 10 AM	made your experience better?		None	No
, . ,				

you'd I	anything else Tennis courts new area is no good, not enough room and poor location ke to share with the proposed		
30115 Jan 06, 2022, 09 55 AM Is there you'd I	anything else I visit the area daily and have so for 15 years. Fix the carpark area in a with entry exits at various points. Leave the existing sports clubs the to share with users.	None alone with priority parking for	No
us abo site pla	It the proposed Save the money for looking after the Elwood trees and maintaining the gardens against future storm damage.		
	uld we have Be open to change and not spend huge amounts of money on proposed plans prior to seeking residents opinions. our experience	None	No
you'd I	anything else You are taking my beautiful Elwood Tennis club and shoving it next to a road, at a dangerous corner with little tree protection and shack to share with This was designed by someone who has little or no understanding of and interest in tennis. the proposed	None de and no room for a club house.	No
30113 Jan 06, 2022, 09 21 AM Is there you'd I us abo	anything else A strong objection to moving the Elwood Park Tennis Club. As a 31 year old I and my friends were re introduced to organised sport via ke to share with of our new found bond for years now. Please don't change it! the proposed	None this club, and it has been a fixture	No
you'd l us abo	anything else We are writing to voice our objection to the proposed relocation of the Elwood Park Tennis Club (EPTC) as part of the Elwood Foreshor ke to share with have notified Council staff of our concerns and recently made presentations to the City of Port Phillip at the Council meeting on 1 Dece the proposed		No
site pla	Tennis Victoria, the peak body for tennis in Victoria, has also met with Council staff regarding the relocation of the club. The EPTC held its AGM on 5th December 2021 and members agreed the current facilities were not sufficient to meet demand and una relocation of the tennis courts.	nimously objected to the proposed	
	The EPTC is a popular and valued community asset. The tennis (dub has a proud history and is over 90 years old. Despite only having four tennis courts, the EPTC has 439 (dub members, most of them City of Port Phillip rate payers. This equates to is well above the average for tennis clubs in Melbourne. The club has over 125 applications on the membership waiting list (60 reside in Elwood, 33 in other City of Port Phillip suburbs and 32 club has been forced to cap membership numbers for several seasons due to insufficient courts. This year the club was only able to of as almost all members renewed their membership. We have repeatedly asked Council for more chemis courts. Tennis Victoria lists the EPTC in the top 50 clubs by membership in Victoria, and as having one of the highest number of members on importantly, we are one of the largest clubs by membership in the Elwood Forestore presence, whist occupying a relatively small site. The club makes a significant contribution to the local area's recreational facilities, including coaching for children and adults, competi also facilitates community events such as the Tennis list Shots program and Open Court free tennis sessions, which becope people from di backgrounds become more aware of the sport. The EPTC provides reaningful access to both club members and the public through its online booking system. We have continuously club in the local area. On average, approximately 50 non-members use the tennis courts per week either by hiring a court or as a gues asked the Council and Tennis Victoria of frannical assistance to expand our online booking system to make it more assisted for non-includent of the proposed site plans and documents provided to us and have put together the following summary of our concern	from neighbouring areas). The fer membership to 10 new people, a per court basis in the State. Ition tennis and casual tennis. We fferent communities and promoted the use of the tennis of a member. We have recently members.	
	 We are concerned about the inadequate provision of crucial information about the proposed new courts and dub house design. It is discussions with our members and provide meaningful feedback to the Council when there is no information on the proposed facilities anything else I'm concerned about removing the tenis court and playground to make way for additional parking, it means all of the green space is 	, the timing of the proposed None	No
us abo site pla 30091 Jan 04, 2022, 09 40 PM	ke to share with foreshore t the proposed ? uld we have	None	No
30091 Jan 04, 2022, 09 40 PM better: Is there you'd I us abo	our experience anything else Will the renovated scout hall, angling club and sailing club have a wider use for the wider community? Rather than that narrow popula te to share with the proposed	None tion	No
	uld we have By giving more information	None	No
30088 Jan 04, 2022, 06 41 PM better: Is there you'd I	anything else The plan needs to reflect a vision for 21st century foreshore infrastructure. The emphasis should be on supporting sustainable beach a ke to share with activities.	None nd water focussed recreational	No
30086 Jan 04, 2022, 05 22 PM	•	None	No

	you'd like to share with	We love opposite the tennis courts on Ormond Esp and are members of the tennis club, cricket club, St Kilda city football club, Elwood lifesaving club and previous attendees of LFK. Whilst I think the plans work if you had a blank canvas it seem completely unnecessary so to such a massive expensive overhaul that will render much of area unusuable whilst it's been done.		
30080 Jan 03, 2022, 05 40 PM		The main issues I see with the current layout is the awkward access to the car park especially since Head st has closed. The middle road between Wattle and the parkland is too narrow and becomes unusable and congested when busy. The bike path near Elwood bathers is not ideal and needs to be addressed but to move perfectly good tennis courts to the croquet club with little car access is definitely detrimental to the Club especially to be replaced by car parking	None	No
	us about the proposed	I have lived and know the dangers of the high traffic problems. already have I it is a very narrow street and cars entering Head street from Beach road do so at a very light speed and any alterations to existing parking would be very hazardous to existing residents. It is extremely disappointing that no attempt has been made to alert all residents in Head street of any intended parking changes. I also believe that any changes to Head street would devalue our properties and how would you compensate for this. Because of past experiences with unwarted young people using this street as a venue for Drag Racing in the middle of the night through the unlocked car park I would be		
30078 Jan 03. 2022. 02 18 PM		deeply concerned that with opening up this street we could see the return of this behaviour. Their was a strong agreement with Port Phillip Council at that time to keep the gates in Head street closed, with one resident giving council money to install some gates inside the car park. Very concerned residents.	None	Nο
,	How could we have made your experience	By alerting and consulting, all residents in Head street to my knowledge no one has been given this plan		
30078 Jan 03, 2022, 02 18 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	It is absurd to propose maximising parallel parking in Head St. With the increased popularity of the beach this road is overused and dangerous. Opening the gates to the car park and to Elwood Park is contrary to previous commitments by Port Phillip council and completely contests the street when it happens. If		No
	us about the proposed site plan?	there is the prospect of extra parking or access to the park the traffic density increases fourfold as motorists try their luck and then go to the dead end and attempt to engainst the incoming traffic in an already narrow street. We see the consequences in attempts to park across our access and on the nature strip. The street is very busy with the combined uses by traffic, cyclists, pedestrians and joggers who use the street Willy nilly. Any attempt to narrow the street by increasing parking and inherent		
30075 Jan 02, 2022, 05 43 PM	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	traffic volumes while narrowing the flow to a single interrupted lane is madness. The plan to have parallel parking along Head St is totally unacceptable.	None	10
	us about the proposed site plan?	The plan to have an overflow car park beside the croquet club with access via Head St is also totally unacceptable.		
		Head St is a relatively narrow street and is often currently log jammed in the summer months. There was a huge problem in Head St several years ago with excess traffic. Fortunately CoPP stopped parking along the park side of Head street by planting new trees and by erecting barriers. CoPP also blocked access to the foreshore car park to reduce the traffic problems. This has worked reasonably well. The plan to increase the volume far greater than when we had problems is unthinkable. The plan by CoPP to create an even worse situation than was before, is totally unacceptable.		
		I hope that I have been clear the proposal for parallel parking along the park side of Head St is totally unacceptable.		
30074 Jan 02, 2022, 03 50 PM		If there is a need for overflow/extra parking near the croquet dub then make access and exit to the park via Ormond Esplanade as are all other current car parks for the Ebwood foreshore. This volume of traffic through Head St is totally unacceptable. the process was managed well	None	No
30074 Jan 02, 2022, 03 50 PM	better?	The parking situation must improve, preferably with access from Head St as well. Love the fact that there will be new restaurant which is double storey.	None	VО
30069 Jan 02, 2022, 11 15 AM	site plan?		None	No
30069 Jan 02, 2022, 11 15 AM	made your experience	More detailed drawings of what the new developments will look like, I could only seeCircles on a map	None	No
30003 3011 01, 1011, 11 13 7411	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Move the bike track away from foreshore area. It should not be mixed within the car park, cafes or pedestrian traffic.		••
30068 Jan 02, 2022, 12 29 AM		Scouts Hall preservation.	None	No
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Scouls Hall preservation.		
30066 Jan 01, 2022, 09 02 PM		I only just heard about this	None	۷o
30066 Jan 01, 2022, 09 02 PM		Moving the tennis courts is completely ridiculous and a waste of money. Putting them at the far end-away from all other facilities including parking-just pushes players	None	No
	us about the proposed site plan?	into a corner. There are no additional courts, a dub house is lost, and the layout removes the whole sense of a dub. It becomes 4 separate individual courts. Further, removing the restaurant to away from the beach area is shortsighted and a massive waste of money. The current restaurant is a destination space which provides a unique experience, putting the restaurant back towards the road just makes it another cafe nothing special for anyone and same as what is there currently in Gypsea.		
		Why can't we have SOMETHING special- not to be an everyday eatery but something for a special occasion? The plans also seem to ignore the way cyclists/scooters/skateboarders use the foreshore- as their personal thoroughfare. They DO NOT slow down when near shared spaces. Look at the number of accidents in		
30065 Jan 01, 2022, 08 50 PM		cyclists/scooters/skateboarders use the foreshore- as their personal thoroughfare. They DO NOT slow down when near shared spaces. Look at the number of accidents in the shared space in St Kilda. It is madness and stupidity to do this again.	None	No
30065 Jan 01, 2022, 08 50 PM 30065 Jan 01, 2022, 08 50 PM	How could we have made your experience	cyclists/scooters/skateboarders use the foreshore- as their personal thoroughfare. They DO NOT slow down when near shared spaces. Look at the number of accidents in		No No

	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	It's taking away from hospitality use of the foreshore. We need more of this, not less.		
	How could we have made your experience	Tell us about these plans before the consultation process was almost done	None	No
30064 Jan 01, 2022, 07 02 PM	better?	Why turn the beach into a biohazard building site for the next 10 years	None	No
		The history of Elwood beach is one of consistent degradation since European occupation by those who assume they can improve upon nature by building.		
		At least the current beach has some old world style with mid century architectural references.		
30060 Dec 31, 2021, 02 51 PM		What an obscene amount of money to spend. By focusing on what is necessary Eg Drain and Canal maintenance and reduction of council expenses	None	No
30060 Dec 31, 2021, 02 51 PM	made your experience	by lockshing on what is necessary Lig brain and canan mannermance and reduction of countries.	None	No
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Great to see that more toilets will be added.		
30058 Dec 31, 2021, 10 22 AM			None	No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	I would be disappointed to see green spaces and sporting facilities sacrificed for overflow car parks.		
30056 Dec 30, 2021, 06 09 PM		a drawing or plan of proposed changes including current views and maps alongside the proposed new plans, maps and views, would provide a clearer understanding of	None	No
30056 Dec 30, 2021, 06 09 PM			None	No
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Too much parking taking over green space		
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	There are too many buildings, optimally positioned, which have very narrow purposes. There's no reason sailing, angling and scouts hall venues can't be combined and the remaining buildings turned over to private ownership.	None	No
30048 Dec 28, 2021, 02 35 PM			None	No
	made your experience	Community meeting	None	No
, , , , , ,	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Too many parking spaces. Too much parkland converted to parking spaces.	ivoie	40
30043 Dec 27, 2021, 01 50 PM			None	No
	made your experience	I am a rates payer and wasn't consulted about his change to the Elwood foreshore.	None	No
		I am glad it includes the protection of the coastal plants as well as planting new indigenous vegetation		
30040 Dec 26, 2021, 07 56 PM		I found the plan confusing, I think showing before (photos of current) and after (illustrations of plans) from perspectives of one standing in the area, rather than bird's eye		No
30040 Dec 26, 2021, 07 56 PM	made your experience			No
, , , , , ,	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	improve somehow about control dog leash, after dog clean,still many dog shit on footpath and grass field, and I nearly fall over when 1 dog suddenly run out from side bush without leash when I run along the pathway	ivuie	NO
30032 Dec 25, 2021, 10 01 PM			None	No
	How could we have made your experience	Random check and punish offender		
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Boat/watercraft storage and parking, should ONLY be for watercraft without motors - because the St Kilda Marina adequately caters for motorised boats/watercraft.	None	No
30028 Dec 25, 2021, 10 26 AM	site plan?		None	No

		Will the life saving dub have more storage for equipment (desperately needed)? Will there be increased gangevroom facilities for both public and life-savers (desperately needed)? Will movement of lifesaving and training equipment be not compromised by the positioning of the dubhouse much further away from the shoreline?		
30022 Dec 24, 2021, 04 00 PM			None	۷n
30022 Dec 24, 2021, 04 00 PW		I couldn't find detailed information on the proposed design of lifesaving club, watch Tower and restaurant in the site plan, rather it mostly contained historical designs	Note	VO
		require time detailed information of the proposed design of inesaving club, water rower and restaurant in the site plant, father it mostly contained instorted designs and inspiration. Us there a design available please?		
30022 Dec 24, 2021, 04 00 PM		and inspiration. Os there a design available please:	None	Vο
30022 Dec 24, 2021, 04 00 FW		Please just leave it as it is.	Note	10
	you'd like to share with			
	us about the proposed			
	site plan?			
30010 Dec 24, 2021, 08 12 AM			None	۷n
		I just like looking at the water at the beach.		
	made vour experience	2		
30010 Dec 24, 2021, 08 12 AM	l better?		None	No
	Is there anything else	It is not easy to see what the proposed new building design is, making it hard to give feedback. I think the surf lifesaving/ams sailing club should be mandated to have a		
		public access area. So a cafe or bar/ restaurant.		
	us about the proposed			
	site plan?			
30008 Dec 23, 2021, 07 24 PM			None	۷o
	How could we have	Plans need to make building designs clearer		
	made your experience			
30008 Dec 23, 2021, 07 24 PM			None	۷o
		Complex set of plans to follow.		
		Increasing the footprint of the car park should be avoided and instead this space returned as public open space.		
	us about the proposed			
	site plan?			
30003 Dec 23, 2021, 06 24 AM			None	۷o
	How could we have	Information on website was poorly laid out, and complex. Wading through one PDF to look at the many pages of plans was complex and made it hard to participate.		
	made your experience			
30003 Dec 23, 2021, 06 24 AM			None	۷o
		The green costal native open space needs to be increased to create a coastal nature reserve where people can picnic, rest, learn about Elwood's indigenous history and		
		marine life, a new coast design children's playground like portarlington foreshore reserve), a off the lead dog beach on head st bayside section, expand the number of		
	site plan?	public tennis courts for community use, and install swimmers public shower change facilities for bay swimmers, and ensure bike riders do not dominate the walking paths.		
30000 Dec 22, 2021, 09 12 PM		patns.	None	۷n
30000 Dec 22, 2021, 03 12 FW		Do an email call out to residents ratepayers and community groups in elwood to get diverse local views and a fuller participation process of workshops and focus groups.	Note	10
		Do an email can out to residents ratepayers and community groups in elwood to get diverse local views and a rulier participation process or workshops and rocus groups.		
	made your experience			
30000 Dec 22 2021 09 12 PM	made your experience		None	۷n
30000 Dec 22, 2021, 09 12 PM	better?	There descrit look to be a mention of evittine WSIIDI	None	No
	better? Is there anything else	There doesn't look to be a mention of existing WSUD! There is no mention of the impending drullication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause	None	No
	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause.		No
	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC		No
	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy.		No
	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that COPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely		No No
	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy.		
	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports!		
	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports!	None	
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely covershadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports!	None None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public.	None None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. Lonly note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but	None None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. Lonly note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. Lonly note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with sa bout the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. Lonly note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. Lonly note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed with the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in fly and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in fly and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Ewood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. Lonly note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Ewood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal.	None t	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in fly and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Ewood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A required moved to make a for car parking.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concrened about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & of Fepela time, all allow the beach Bayaide suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialio (creet) has made me realise.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in fly and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & off-peak times, all along the beach Bayside suburs- between Station Pier and Mordialioc (creek) has made me realise over the last deceds that Elwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look on further the massively dis-	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concrened about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & of Fepela time, all allow the beach Bayaide suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialio (creet) has made me realise.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in fly and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & off-peak times, all along the beach Bayside suburs- between Station Pier and Mordialioc (creek) has made me realise over the last deceds that Elwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look on further the massively dis-	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concrened about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & for Fepeak time, all allong the beach Bayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialloc (creet) has made me realise over the last decades that Elwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionalely less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in fly and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & Off-peak times, all along the beach Bayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialice (creek) has made me realise over the last decedes that Elwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionately less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concrened about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants on the reflection of the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & for people times be beach Bayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialloc (creet) has made me realise over the last decades that Ellwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionately less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length. The bicycle path would be much safer with proposed changes. Restriction of Head St to only locates, and conversion into a bike path is commendable.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in fly and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice bu also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & Off-peak times, all along the beach Bayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialice (creek) has made me realise over the last decedes that Elwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionately less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concrened about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants on the reflection of the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & for people times be beach Bayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialloc (creet) has made me realise over the last decades that Ellwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionately less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length. The bicycle path would be much safer with proposed changes. Restriction of Head St to only locates, and conversion into a bike path is commendable.	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concrened about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants on the reflection of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Etwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & for people times, all allong the beach Bayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialloc (creet) has made me realise over the last decades that Ellowood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionately less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length. The bicycle path would be much safe	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concerned about polluted stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants entering the Bay through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of "people usable" spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the "overflow carpark" only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & off-peak times, all along the beach sayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialioc (creek) has made me realist over the last decades that Etwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionately less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length. The bicycle path would be much safer with proposed changes. Restriction of Head St to	None t None	No
29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29999 Dec 22, 2021, 07 51 PM 29997 Dec 22, 2021, 04 54 PM	better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	There is no mention of the impending duplication of the Head Street Drain and the destruction to the area this will cause. While the text suggests that CoPP is concrened about polluded stormwater entering the Bay - the Head Street Duplication is an entirely retrograde project contrary to VC 154 and every other level of planning code or policy. The reduction of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through minor WSUD will be be entirely overshadowed by stormwater contaminants on the reflection of contaminants in dry and wet weather flows through drains such as Head Street - please refer to the relevant CSIRO reports! Hold forums that are entirely open to to the public. I only note a single cafe/convenience outset on the site. I don't think this is satisfactory. People should have at least one other outlet to choose from to provide choice but also avoid anti-competitive behaviour on the part of the existing outlet. I'm a daily user of the Elwood Foreshore - both walking & riding multiple times each day through it. I have been a regular user for 35 years and have watched it's use increase massively. Let me commend your future plans. My thoughts Separating pedestrian and cars is vital, it can be quite dangerous in it's current configuration, especially for small children. Overall I don't see the need for more car spaces to be created at the sacrifice of 'people usable' spaces. A reduction would be more ideal. I would support the 'overflow carpark' only being used during events. I am very much against Peak Season use of this area for car parking. My observations of car parking, use during peak & for people times, all allong the beach Bayside suburbs - between Station Pier and Mordialloc (creek) has made me realise over the last decades that Elwood shoulders far more of its fair responsibility for supplying beach access car parking. Look no further than the massively disproportionately less accessible carparking that Brighton supplies compared to its coastal length. Restriction of Head St to only locals	None t	No

		No suggestion	
29994 Dec 22, 2021, 02 57 PM	made your experience better?		None N
	Is there anything else	There should be more scope for businesses and hospitality - including on the beach.	
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed		
	site plan?		
29991 Dec 22, 2021, 01 35 PM			None N
	Is there anything else you'd like to share with	LOVE the suggested new lifesaving club facilities and location	
	us about the proposed		
	site plan?		
29985 Dec 22, 2021, 10 30 AN		A lot of councils are putting in outdoor table tennis tables in parks and grass areas. You even have one in a park along the tram line in Port Melbourne. 2 or 3 of these	None N
		would be fantastic in the park behind Elwood beach.	
	us about the proposed		
29963 Dec 20, 2021, 12 42 PM	site plan?		None N
, , ,		Anything that encourages fitness outdoors is great. Basketball rings are in high demand and great for encouraging kids/ teenagers to get off screens and do something	
		active and positive for mental health. Tennis courts are great. I love the fitness gym on the beach- always in use when I visit.	
	us about the proposed site plan?		
29953 Dec 19, 2021, 04 05 PM			None N
	How could we have made your experience	The letter drop was useful. I haven't seen any signs on the foreshore about the proposed changes	
29953 Dec 19, 2021, 04 05 PM			None N
		The croquet club and tennis club are not well catered for. Both have a growing membership and important place supporting the wellbeing of our ageing community. The	
	you'd like to share with us about the proposed	proposed plan crowds their facilities and does not allow for future growth.	
	site plan?		
29952 Dec 19, 2021, 03 45 PM			None N
	How could we have made your experience	Even though I have been subscribed to 'HaveYourSay' for a long time, I relied on word of mouth to alert me to this	
29952 Dec 19, 2021, 03 45 PM			None N
		I believe moving the tennis courts is a big mistake. It is a decision made by folk who do not play the sport. Combining facilities does not work and individually caged courts	
		are not optimal playing spaces.	
	us about the proposed	Creating more car parking does not help the sport lovers who value this space. There are not enough open air spaces in our city. Tennis courts are disappearing fast.	
	site plan?	Creating more car parking does not help the sport lovers who value this space. There are not enough open air spaces in our city. Tennis courts are disappearing fast. Please leave these valuable community courts alone.	
29939 Dec 18, 2021, 07 52 AN	site plan?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transport so parking not required!	None N
29939 Dec 18, 2021, 07 52 AN	site plan? I Is there anything else	Please leave these valuable community courts alone.	None n
29939 Dec 18, 2021, 07 52 AN	site plan? I Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transport so parking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by	None N
	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transport so parking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by	
29939 Dec 18, 2021, 07 52 AN	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transports oparhing not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people.	None None
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transport so parking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redevelorement of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the	None N
	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone Create better public transport so parking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redevelowment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal.	
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transport so parking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redevelorement of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the	None N
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transports oparhing not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the	None N
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transports oparhing not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the	None n
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transports oparhing not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the	None N
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29981 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM	is the reanything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better polic transports opating not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth,	None n
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM	iste plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transports oparking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary, it is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth, By consulting with the organisation stakeholders prior to implementing this plan.	None n
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29981 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM	is the plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transports op artining not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth, By consulting with the organisation stakeholders prior to implementing this plan. Moving a carpark to an existing lawn area so it can be replaced with a lawn is pointless. A few good things like the nature play area, improved beach access, and replacing ageing buildings, but the site just needs a tidy-up, not a re-design. And STIL no direct link with the pedestrian lights on Ormond Esp. and the beach, seriously? And where	None none none none none none none none
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29981 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM	is the pan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? So there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with its to share with its there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed you'd like to share with us about the proposed	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better polic transport so parking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth, By consulting with the organisation stakeholders prior to implementing this plan. Moving a carpark to an existing lawn area so it can be replaced with a lawn is pointless. A few good things like the nature play area, improved beach access, and replacing against buildings, but the site just needs a tidy-up, not a re-design. And STILL no direct link with the pedestrian lights on Ormond Esp. and the beach, seriously? And where	None none none none none none none none
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29981 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan?	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better public transports op artining not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth, By consulting with the organisation stakeholders prior to implementing this plan. Moving a carpark to an existing lawn area so it can be replaced with a lawn is pointless. A few good things like the nature play area, improved beach access, and replacing ageing buildings, but the site just needs a tidy-up, not a re-design. And STIL no direct link with the pedestrian lights on Ormond Esp. and the beach, seriously? And where	None none none none none none none none
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29881 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM 29881 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM	site plan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? How could we have made your experience better? How could we have made your seperience better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have we have have better? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better polic transport so parking not required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth, By consulting with the organisation stakeholders prior to implementing this plan. Moving a carpark to an existing lawn area so it can be replaced with a lawn is pointless. A few good things like the nature play area, improved beach access, and replacing against buildings, but the site just needs a tidy-up, not a re-design. And STILL no direct link with the pedestrian lights on Ormond Esp. and the beach, seriously? And where	None P
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29881 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM 29881 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM 29794 Dec 14, 2021, 09 52 PM	ist pelan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? She was a strength of the share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made you'd like to share with a sh	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better polic transports op antiagn por required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth, By consulting with the organisation stakeholders prior to implementing this plan. Moving a carpark to an existing lawn area so it can be replaced with a lawn is pointless. A few good things like the nature play area, improved beach access, and replacing ageing buildings, but the site just needs a tidy-up, not a re-design. And STILL no direct link with the pedestrian lights on Ormond Esp. and the beach, seriously? And where does the disability access for the existing surf club/restaurant go if you move the car park? Most of this plan is pie-in-the-sky stuff that*II probably never happen, and if it does will lead to years of disruption.	None P
29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29937 Dec 17, 2021, 10 38 PM 29881 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM 29881 Dec 16, 2021, 02 15 PM	ist pelan? Is there anything else you'd like to share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made your experience better? She was a strength of the share with us about the proposed site plan? How could we have made you'd like to share with a sh	Please leave these valuable community courts alone. Create better polic transports op antiagn por required! The proposed plan is excessive, involving redeveloment of 9 buildings. With the sensible idea of multi-purpose buildings do not see why so many constructions are necessary. It is also not clear how there can be 78% increase in vegetation, unless this refers to plantings in place of current grass cover which is currently enjoyed by many people. Only 4 of 11 questions relate to the plan and the survey is mostly about our response to the Council process. I was expecting a meaningful opportunity to input into the proposal. This plan has been brought to light without consulting the organisations that use the spaces and by removing the current buildings you are greatly disadvantaging the sailing communities, and local youth, By consulting with the organisation stakeholders prior to implementing this plan. Moving a carpark to an existing lawn area so it can be replaced with a lawn is pointless. A few good things like the nature play area, improved beach access, and replacing ageing buildings, but the site just needs a tidy-up, not a re-design. And STILL no direct link with the pedestrian lights on Ormond Esp. and the beach, seriously? And where does the disability access for the existing surf club/restaurant go if you move the car park? Most of this plan is pie-in-the-sky stuff that*II probably never happen, and if it does will lead to years of disruption.	None P