











# BOATING ZONES

	<b>5 KNOT ZONE</b>
	<b>ACCESS LANE</b>
	<b>BOATING ONLY</b>
	<b>NO BOATING</b>
	<b>NO PERSONAL WATERCRAFT</b>
	<b>NO PERSONAL WATER CRAFT OR SAILBOARDS</b>
	<b>NO SAILBOARDS</b>
	<b>PERSONAL WATER CRAFT</b>
	<b>SAILBOARDS ONLY</b>

# Attachment 1(A)





## BOATING ZONES

- 5 KNOT ZONE
- ACCESS LANE
- BOATING ONLY
- NO BOATING
- NO PERSONAL WATERCRAFT OR SAILBOARDS
- NO PERSONAL WATERCRAFT OR SAILBOARDS
- NO SAILBOARDS
- PERSONAL WATER
- SAILBOARDS ONLY
- 200m - mean low water

EXISTING

3.1 Sandridge





BOATING ZONES


- 5 KNOT ZONE
- ACCESS LAKE
- BOATING ONLY
- NO BOATING
- NO PERSONAL WATERCRAFT OR SAILBOARDS
- NO SAILBOARDS
- PERSONAL WATER
- SAILBOARDS ONLY

EXISTING

3.3 St Klida



# Attachment 2(A)



**Draft Boating Zones**

- 5 Knot Zone
- Boating Only
- Kiteboard Operating Area
- No Boating Zone
- No Kiteboards or Sailboards
- No PWC
- Water Ski Access Lane

**Draft Markers**

- Sign
- buoy
- Flag
- Other
- Boat Ramp
- 200m from shore
- Life Saving Victoria Sites

## Boating Zones Recommendations - Sandridge



Disclaimer: Parks Victoria does not guarantee that this data is without flaw of any kind and therefore disclaims all liability which may arise from relying on this information.

Geocentric Datum of Australia (GDA94)  
 Map produced by Parks Victoria  
 State digital mapbase used with permission of  
 Land Victoria, Department of Sustainability and Environment  
 This map reflects all previous editions

Date produced :  
 26/09/2008



**Attachment 2(B)**

**Boating Zones**

- 5 Knot Zone
- Boating Only
- Moorage Operating Area
- No Mooring
- No Keelhaul or Subbeams
- No PWC
- Recreation Access Lane

**Markers**

- Sign
- Blue
- Pier
- Other
- Boat Ramp
- 200m from shore
- Life Saving Victoria Sites

**Boating Zones Recommendations - Middle Park and St Kilda West**


Date produced :  
19/03/2009

Geospatial Datum of Australia (GDA84)  
Map produced by Parks Victoria  
Scale digital response used with permission of  
Land Victoria, Department of Sustainability and Environment  
This map supersedes all previous editions

Disclaimer: Parks Victoria does not guarantee that this data is without error of any kind and therefore accepts no liability which may arise from your relying on this information.



# Attachment 2(c)



**Boating Zones**

- 5 Knot Zone
- Boating Only
- Keelhaul Operating Area
- No Boating
- No Mooring or Subleases
- No PWC
- Waterway Access Lane

**Markers**

- Boat
- Busy
- Flotilla
- Other
- Boat Ramp

100m from shore  
the Saving Victoria Tree

## Boating Zones Recommendations - St Kilda

Disclaimer: Parks Victoria does not guarantee that the data is without flaw of any kind and therefore disclaims all liability which may arise from you relying on this information.

Geographic Data of Australia (GDA84)  
Map produced by Parks Victoria  
State digital database used with permission of Land Victoria, Department of Sustainability and Environment  
This map supersedes all previous editions

Date produced:  
18/03/2009



# Attachment 2(D)

	Draft Boating Zones
	5 Knot Zone
	Boating Only
	Kiteboard Operating Area
	No Boating Zone
	No Kiteboards or Surfboards
	No PWC
	Waterisk Access Lane
	Draft Markers
	Sign
	Buoy
	Pile
	Other
	Boat Ramp
	200m from shore
	Life saving Victoria Sites



## Boating Zones Recommendations - Elwood & Brighton



Disclaimer: Parks Victoria does not guarantee that this data is without flaw of any kind and therefore disclaims all liability which may arise from you relying on this information.

Geocentric Datum of Australia (GDA94)  
 Map produced by Parks Victoria  
 State digital mapbase used with permission of  
 Land Victoria, Department of Sustainability and Environment  
 This map supercedes all previous editions

Date produced :  
 29/09/2008

**Recreational Boating Zone Review**  
**30 April 2009**  
**Responses received following Emerald Hill Weekly article February 2009**

**Attachment 3(A)**

Resident	Area	Support PV proposal	Object PV proposal	Remarks
1	Elwood		Oppose	Safety a big issue for swimmers. Not a suitable use for family's and young children.
2	Middle Park		Oppose	PWC's and swimmers don't mix. Noise is a problem.
3	Elwood		Oppose	Safety will be compromised for swimmers as they are not easily seen by PWC users. PWC users are not complying with the current regulations. Creating shared zones will push swimmers to other areas where PWC's are not allowed. Locate PWC areas well out (1km) from the shoreline.
4	East StKilda		Oppose	Other beach users may not be aware of the regulations with the shared use zone. PWC users may not comply with regulations in the shared use zone. PWC's to have their own area. It only takes a small number of PWC users to spoil the beach for all.
5	Elwood		Oppose	This is not a good idea. Swimming for kids would not be safe. Ruin the beach experience for others. The current zoning applicable. Swimming is for all.
6	Middle Park		Oppose	PWC users don't obey the rules. A mixed use zone is not safe for swimmers. Swimmers outside the current marked zones are at risk. Restrict access and concentrate PWC's as that will highlight the use.
7	St Kilda		Oppose	PWC's to be sufficiently away from shore to be safe for swimmers. Noise is a problem.
8			Oppose	Don't mix with swimmers.
9	Elwood		Oppose	Windsurfers and PWC's are a problem especially for those who do swimming training. No PV or water police ever present – policing is a problem. Kids and family uses a problem to manage with PWC's. Messy arrangement with a shared use zone. Containment of like uses.
10	Elwood		Oppose	No way – not a good mix of uses. Noise pollution.
11	Elwood		Oppose	Beaches are for families and kids, including beach walkers PWC's not a suitable use. PWC users disregard other beach users.
12	Elwood		Oppose	No way. Windsurfers also a problem. No glass areas should be introduced. PWC's don't comply with speed limits.
13	Elwood		Oppose	Current access near head street a problem with PWC users and adjacent swimming area. Water police will attend when asked. Rocks could be an issue causing PWC to swerve near swimmers. Enforcement an issue. More signage required. Pollution an issue.
14	Elwood		Oppose	Family needs safety. Its not what Elwood beach is for.
15	Middle Park		Oppose	Noise issues – PWC's are intrusive. Users are unsafe in their practices. Passive recreation is the principle use of the beach.
16	Elwood		Oppose	Families use beaches. PWC users demonstrate unsafe practices. Enforcement will be a problem. Smell will impact on other users.
17	StKilda		Oppose	One PWC user can destroy the beach experience for lots of others. PWC noise is an issue. Locate PWC's about 200m from shore. Brookas Jetty is a very popular beach for kids and dogs and will not be safe with PWC's
18	Beacon Cove		Oppose	Noise is a problem. Enjoyment of others will be impacted on. Segregate uses. Keep PWC's further away from shore.
19	Elwood		Oppose	Intrusive for other beach users like walkers and cyclists. Noisy and dangerous for swimmers. Segregation of uses. PWC users are not responsible.
20	Elwood		Oppose	Dangerous use to mix with children. PWC are young and not well behaved users. Jet ski's and people don't mix. PWC's should be located well out from the beach. Peaceful beaches no jet ski's.

# Attachment 3(B)

Recreational Boating Zone Review														
Surveys: Elwood, St Kilda, Middle Park - Wednesday 18 March 2009 & Sunday 22 March 2009														
	Male	Female	3182	3183	3184	3205	3206	3207	OTHER	Yes	No	Stay	Elsewhere	Total
Postcode			35	6	92	6	14	9	107					269
Gender	150	115												
Do you have children										91	163			
Do you own a boat/ jetski?										27	222			
If yes, are you aware of the allocated recreational boating zones along the beach?										29	2			
Were you aware that there are some areas on the beach that you can ride/ not ride jetskis?										144	112			
If jetskis were allowed at this beach would you feel comfortable coming here?										142	133			
Where do you think jetskis should go?												22	235	
Number of surveys:														
Remarks														
22 Respondents - Keep zones as they are 235 Respondents - Move further out from swimmers - Find other locations - Safety an issue mixing swimmers and jetskis														

# Attachment 3(C)

## Recreational Boating Zone Review – 14 April 2009 Responses received – online surveys – hard copy surveys – E-mails

Resident	Area	Support PV proposal	Object PV proposal	Remarks
On line survey 29 received submissions did not support changes to Brooks Jetty St Kilda. Some made comments about their local beach expressing concern over PWC's.	Elwood St Kilda Sandridge		Oppose	General Have stopped going to the local beach, PWC's nuisance, noisy, smelly. Keep PWC's away from families. Keep swimming beaches. Keep the current zones at Brooks Jetty.
Hard copy survey 1	St Kilda		Oppose	Keep current zones. This is a popular dog and swimming area.
2			Oppose	Suggest locating PWC's along rocky shores not beaches
3	St Kilda		Oppose	Keep swimmer only areas. Undertake more enforcement.
4			Oppose	PWC's should be away from well used beaches
5	Elwood		Oppose	PWC's are hazardous, noisy, keep away from popular beaches.
E-mails 1	St Kilda		Oppose	Keep current zones at Brooks Jetty. Dog walking important, There are issues of safety concerns with PWC users.