

St Kilda Esplanade Market Review
Attachment 1: Snapshot of Submissions

(Note – the formal submission from the market association committee (#1) does not actually object to the proposed implementation of the review report recommendations; rather it suggests some changes. However, for the sake of an easier 'summary' of views, all responses in support of the association's submission are in the 'against' column as it would seem to better reflect the views of the respondents.)

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
1 Association Committee Submission	Generally supports proposed implementation with reservations/ alternative view on Advisory Group	The Committee welcomes the review and the process used. 'the reforms need to be conducted in such a way that confirms the legitimacy of the Association'	The committee proposes the following 'proposition: -that there be 2 to 3 market -representatives on the Advisory Group that these representatives be appointed by the committee from the body of the Association'.	
2 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group should not include 'anyone that holds a key position in the current committee' In light of suggestions of less time – request that Council takes control of the market for 2 years as recommended.		
3. Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	'The Advisory Group should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee' The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
4. Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	The Advisory Group should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee' The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
5. Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	'In the past few years the committee has achieved nothing and should now be up to council to run the market.'		•
6 Stallholder & Association Committee member	Supports Council's proposed implementation	'My concern with the market being empowered by the council to govern and manage this by this current committee is that we still have severe internal governance and management issues of our own.' Feels that the committee's submission does not represent whole committee view, nor has there been input invited from wider membership.		'Main issue of concern' is the total lack of consultation with the membership' Sees the proposed change as a boost to stallholder morale Notes that there needs to be specific information addressing 'triangle site' to reassure stallholders about the market's future
7 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Supports at least 2 year period –or permanent Advisory Group - members should be selected by council not the committee No member of recent committees should be on the Advisory Group Selection of traders be done by council to support 'maker market'		Lack of good communication with stallholders; instead "various and varied (and sometimes shrill) visits from members recruiting for support for their position or to put it another way, their retention of 'power'" Protected parking is good Buskers would be good
8 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	'The Advisory Group should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee' The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
9 Stallholder & Association Committee member	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Supports 'transparent governance'; Very strong view that current committee does not have the capacity to govern market and is steeped in problems such as conflict of interest and mismanagement of day to day operations at the market.		'No consultation by the committee has occurred with the stallholders of the market'. Detailed negative view of current situation of the management of the market.

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
10 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	<p>Feels that there are real problems in the Committee's approach to managing the market in that some members 'act in a dictatorial, unaccountable, irresponsible and unrepresentative manner.'</p> <p>Supporting council's way forward will result in 'stability, good governance and continued success for all parties'.</p>		Little consultation with the membership in regard to current submission
11 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	<p>Advisory Group – members should be drawn from all stallholders & selected on basis of skills</p> <p>Concerned about 'conflict of interest' if committee members are on Advisory Group</p>		Are not Association members because of 'history of various committees'
12 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	<p>Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee</p> <p>The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.</p>		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
13 Stallholder	Association Committee should 'manage and govern the market'		'We elect these people because we believe they understand the issues that concern us and have the skills to work out any problems. Therefore I support the decisions and opinions they express and their right to represent the majority of stallholders'.	
14 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	'A professional manager can be found to create publicity and awareness, seek out new artists and verify the authenticity of all work. Consultation with selected market representatives would be essential.'		'In principle, I support stallholder management of the market but in practice I believe it has become non-viable'

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
15 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Should be no role for the association committee		
16 Stallholder	Supports current and ongoing role of Association Committee in governing and managing this market'.		'Advisory Group – 'should included Association members selected by the Committee'	Association Committee should remain actively involved in the selection of stallholders for the market due to their knowledge & experience of crafts and the market.'
17 Stallholder	Supports 'the committee submission to maintain the Association role in governing the Market'		'Important that Association continues to play major role in selection of stallholders'	Council should continue to collect 'advertising levy' to allow Committee to pay for insurance, advertising and office needs.
18 Stallholder	'Governance of the Market is maintained by the current Market Association	Advisory Group – 'the group be made of members of the Market Association, Councillors & community representatives'	-That CoPP assumes temporary responsibility for managing the market for a period of no greater than 1 year'	'that the relationship between CoPP the management and the market in this period is not conducted in a unilateral manner by CoPP' 'seeking 'cash advance or grant to be made available to the Association to engage in critical pre Christmas & summer season advertising'
19 Stallholder	'Supports the Committee submission in full'		'Advisory Group – '3-4 association members and that they be appointed by the committee of the market association.	'We need a strong representative body to give voice to our day to day issues as well as our long term concerns et the triangle development.'
20 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
21 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
22 Stallholder	'the market association should continue its ongoing role in regards to the governance and management of the market'		Advisory Group – '3-4 association members selected by the committee.' 'Association committee would like to continue to play a role in stallholder selection'	
23 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group – current committee members should not be on the advisory group The recommendations of the review report 'clearly reflects the aspirations of the majority of stallholders'		Prior attempts at an MOU 'was rejected by the association mainly because of intimidating claims made by some of the committee members to stallholders'
24 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
25 Stallholder	'Agree with and support the market association (submission)		Advisory Group - the association needs to have representation on the group	
26 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
27 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
28 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
29 Stallholder	'Strong and committed support of (committee) proposals (in their submission)'.		Association Committee should have 'primary control and responsibility for establishing and maintaining the culture, identity and ethos of the market and for the smooth and efficient functioning of the market'	
30 Stallholder	Supports the market association submission'		Advisory Group – 3-4 committee members and that the committee should appoint them Committee should keep the role of governing the market	
31 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
32 Stallholder & Association Committee member	No specific view expressed for or against proposed implementation but would seem to be in agreement with	Advisory Group – committee members should be included for best governance outcomes Suggests future market management role be 2 tiered – operating/policing and marketing/improvement roles		Would to make known the 'enormous amount of hours trying to fairly process and assess the THA report. Unfortunately due to a chain of events the committee were not able to meet to finalise the report as desired'

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
	suggestions.			Request that CoPP collects advertising levy in time to advertise for Christmas.
33 Stallholder	Supports the market association submission'		Advisory Group – 3-4 committee members and that the committee should appoint them	
34 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
35 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not contain or be selected by members of the Association Committee The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		Advisory Group should be made up of skills based members
36 Stallholder	Supports Council's proposed implementation	Advisory Group - should not involve persons with a conflict of interest through their association with political interests; should be 'persons of good character' (a police check would be good); should be open to all stallholder to apply' The council should run the market for a minimum of 2 years.		The committee should not be 'empowered in the next 2 years in matters concerning the management of the market'.
37 Stallholder	Supports the market association submission		Advisory Group – agrees with association submission that it needs a strong voice on the group to help shape the future of the market.	

Submission Number / Interest	Position Support/Objection	Comments in support / consistent with proposed implementation	Comments against proposed implementation	General comments / suggestions in relation to proposed implementation
38 Stallholder & Committee member	Supports the market association submission		Advisory Group – interim for 6-12 months not 2 years	